Tuning ion channel mechanosensitivity by asymmetry of the transbilayer pressure profile
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Abstract
Mechanical stimuli acting on the cellular membrane are linked to intracellular signaling events and downstream effectors via different mechanoreceptors. Mechanosensitive (MS) ion channels are the fastest known primary mechano-electrical transducers, which convert mechanical stimuli into meaningful intracellular signals on a submillisecond time scale. Much of our understanding of the biophysical principles that underlie and regulate conversion of mechanical force into conformational changes in MS channels comes from studies based on MS channel reconstitution into lipid bilayers. The bilayer reconstitution methods have enabled researchers to investigate the structure-function relationship in MS channels and probe their specific interactions with their membrane lipid environment. This brief review focuses on close interactions between MS channels and the lipid bilayer and emphasizes the central role that the transbilayer pressure profile plays in mechanosensitivity and gating of these fascinating membrane proteins.
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Introduction
Among the mechanosensors found in organisms, from all niches of life, mechanosensitive (MS) ion channels are the fastest primary mechano-electrical transducers, which couple mechanical stimuli to intracellular signaling pathways on a submillisecond time scale. These force-gated (MS) channels have been implicated in numerous mechanosensory transduction processes ranging from cellular osmoregulation in bacteria to the senses of touch and hearing in animals and humans (Martinac and Cox 2016). More recently, our understanding of the common biophysical principles underlying their gating mechanism has been significantly enriched thanks to the progress made in identification and structural information of eukaryotic K2P-type TREK and TRAAK as well as Piezo1 MS channels (Brohawn et al. 2012; Coste et al. 2010; Saotome et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2018; Guo and MacKinnon 2017). This information has been aided by a series of functional studies investigating inherent mechanosensitive properties of these eukaryotic MS channel proteins. These studies show that the eukaryotic MS channels although structurally very different, are functionally very similar to bacterial MscL and MscS channels (Brohawn et al. 2014a; Berrier et al. 2013; Cox et al. 2016; Syeda et al. 2016).

This short review focuses on close interactions between MS channels and the lipid bilayer. A few examples of protein-lipid interactions between MS channels and the lipid bilayer are given, highlighting the crucial role that the transbilayer pressure profile plays in channel mechanosensitivity and gating by mechanical force.
Mechanosensing at the membrane interface

Force transmission pathways in biological cells includes a variety of cellular structures, such as extracellular and cytoskeletal elements, cell-cell junctions, cell-surface interactions, and membrane receptor complexes (e.g., GPCRs, MS channels) (Cox et al. 2017; Mofrad 2006). Central to the function of all these structures is the lipid bilayer, which preceded evolution of different life forms on Earth by forming spontaneously in archaic prebiotic vesicles as a product of the “hydrophobic effect” and the amphiphatic structure of the lipids of cellular membranes (Chandler 2005). Direct evidence for the existence of prebiotic liposomes as self-assembling collections of amphiphiles that could withstand membrane tensions of several mN/m comes from the detection of fatty acids in the interior of the Murchison meteorite from Australia (Chen and Walde 2010; Morris 2002), whose organic extracts were shown to form boundary membranes when rehydrated (Deamer and Pashley 1989). By minimizing the contact between the hydrophobic tails and water, the bilayer serves as the matrix that holds various membrane structures together. It thus provides a physical barrier for ions and water between the extracellular and intracellular compartments. Moreover, the lipid bilayer is fluid and dynamic, as originally proposed by Singer and Nicholson (Singer and Nicolson 1972), allowing for a dynamic rearrangement of proteins and lipids via Brownian motion. Although instrumental for understanding many membrane phenomena observed in biological cells, the original “fluid mosaic model” has not been sufficient to explain many others. More recent studies have indicated that membrane components are compartmentalized and organized into functional domains, which are important for selective and effective signal transduction. The new “dynamically structured mosaic model” emphasizes membrane mosaicism allowing proteins and lipids to organize themselves in molecular-scale clusters as well as larger sub-micron clusters often referred to as “lipid rafts” (Vereb et al. 2003; Simons and Ikonen 1997). In this new model, membrane fluidity enables and facilitates dynamic restructuring of the clusters as dictated by the environment in which biological cells function.

Cellular membranes are major targets of mechanical force, which exists in many forms depending on its interaction with cells. With the exception of gravity, which is force field acting at distance, mechanical force exerts its effect through direct contact by stretching, bending, curving, breaking, or deforming the cell membrane and its components by shear stress or osmotic pressure. Given the essential role that water plays for the existence of life, osmotic pressure has been the primordial mechanical force inherent to all life forms. Organisms from different evolutionary origins have dealt in various ways with the hyper- or hypo-osmotic challenges they face in their living environments. The cell membrane of cell-walled organisms such as bacteria, archaea, fungi, and plants is protected from sudden changes in environmental osmolarity by thick cell walls able to resist osmotic pressure corresponding to 20–30 atm pressure difference across the cell envelope (Martinac and Kloda 2003; Wilson et al. 2013; Hamilton et al. 2015; Peyronnet et al. 2014). Mammalian cells, which normally do not experience large changes in osmotic pressure, have developed different strategies based on meshed and relatively soft cell structures including the cytoskeleton and extracellular matrix. They protect the membrane lipid bilayer from being ruptured by excessive stress while preserving cell deformability (Hamill and Martinac 2001). In addition, animal cell membranes are characterized by significant membrane folding, which by unfolding reduces or releases excessive mechanical stimuli (Hamill 2006).

MsCL and MsCS: “workhorses” of mechanosignalling

Microbial cells, such as animal and human gut bacteria, are frequently forced to adapt to the fluctuations in osmolarity of the environments they exist in. They are particularly well equipped with osmoregulatory genes encoding specialized membrane proteins designed to meet the osmotic challenges (Stokes et al. 2003; Bialecka-Fornal et al. 2015). Two types of such membrane proteins are MsCL- and MsCS-like mechanosensitive channels (Fig. 1a). They form two families of ion channels functioning in mechanotransduction processes of a large number of bacteria and archaea (Booth and Blount 2012). However, they have also been found in eukaryotic single-celled microbes such as fungi and green algae as well as multicellular plant organisms (Fig. 1b) (Martinac et al. 2013; Pivetti et al. 2003). MsCL and MsCS channels are potential descendants of the primordial sensors of osmotic and other forms of mechanical force that evolved as primary signaling molecules supporting the mechanosensory physiology of biological cells (Cox et al. 2018). For many years, both MsCL and MsCS were the only MS channels of a known 3D structure (Chang et al. 1998; Bass et al. 2002). This helped enormously in studying the relationship between their structure and function, including lipid protein interactions essential for their mechanosensitivity (Perozo et al. 2001, 2002a, b; Moe and Blount 2005; Sukharev et al. 1999; Betanzos et al. 2002; Sukharev 2002; Iscla et al. 2008, 2011; Nomura et al. 2012; Bavi et al. 2016a; Ridone et al. 2018). These studies took many years, providing great insight into the basic physical principles of MS channel mechanosensitivity starting with the initial evidence for MS channel gating according to the “force-from-lipids” principle (Martinac et al. 1990; Teng et al. 2015).
The bilayer reconstitution methods have for a number of years served as a “gold standard” for determining inherent mechanosensitivity of MS channels (Fig. 2a) (Martinac et al. 2010). They have allowed researchers to examine the effect of bilayer properties, including thickness and stiffness/fluidity as well as its specific lipid components, on the structural dynamics and function of these channels. Moreover, these methods helped to firmly establish the “force-from-lipids” (FFL) principle as an evolutionary conserved physico-chemical principle that can be used to understand and explain the basic
mechanism of MS channel gating (Martinac et al. 1990; Teng et al. 2015; Kung 2005) ranging from prokaryotic (bacterial and archaeal) MS channels (MscL, MscS) (Perozo et al. 2002a, b; Nomura et al. 2012; Bavi et al. 2016a; Martinac et al. 1990) to eukaryotic MS channels of known structure and function (Piezo1, TREK-1, TRAAK) (Brohawn et al. 2014a; Berrier et al. 2013; Cox et al. 2016; Syeda et al. 2016; Cox et al. 2017; Brohawn et al. 2014b).

Computer modeling of mechanical stress experienced by liposome membrane patches, during patch clamp recording, provided new insights into mechanical force distribution corresponding to membrane tension and/or membrane curvature (“force-from-lipids”) that activates and gates MS ion channels (Fig. 2b). Finite element (FE) modeling, for example, has shown that unlike cell-attached patch mode, the stress in two lipid monolayers of a membrane patch differs significantly in the excised patch configuration, with the stress in the upper monolayer being ~30% higher compared to the lower monolayer (Bavi et al. 2014). As it will become clearer towards the end of this review, this stress difference between the monolayers may have been instrumental for the discovery of mechanosensitive ion channels (Hamill and Martinac 2001; Brehm et al. 1984; Guharay and Sachs 1984; Martinac et al. 1987) facilitated by the advent of the patch clamp recording technique (Hamill et al. 1981). In addition, computational modeling, including FE and molecular dynamics (MD)
simulations, of MS channel gating in bilayers has provided further insights about the self-assembly of lipid molecules into the membrane bilayers (Chandler 2005). One of the consequences of this self-assembly is severe stress heterogeneity across the bilayer thickness referred to in the literature as the “transbilayer pressure profile” (Fig. 3a). The strong anisotropic internal bilayer stress has been determined to be in the order of hundreds of atmospheres (Ridone et al. 2018; Cantor 1999; Gullingsrud and Schulten 2004).

**Transbilayer pressure profile**

The anisotropy of the transbilayer pressure profile results from mainly three factors explained in Fig. 3a (Bavi et al. 2017a). There is repulsion between the head groups and steric repulsion in the tails, which is strongly dependent on the degree of lipid saturation (Ridone et al. 2018). Therefore, there is a sharp stretch on the solvent bilayer interface to disallow water to access the hydrophobic core of the bilayer. Thus, surface tension corresponding to the area highlighted blue in Fig. 3c is the stress at the interface of two phases (i.e., lipid and water). In an idealized lipid bilayer consisting of two identical monolayers, the symmetric transbilayer pressure profile shows characteristic negative peaks at the water-lipid interface and repulsive positive peaks in the headgroup and tail region (Fig. 3a). In the presence of a membrane protein such as an MS ion channel, the pressure profile becomes asymmetric (Fig. 3b). The asymmetry of the pressure profile increases further upon stretching the bilayer, which is a consequence of readjustment of the energy equilibrium between the protein and the bilayer. Stretching thins a bilayer, which results in

---

**Fig. 3** The transbilayer pressure profile. **a** The transbilayer pressure profile results from mainly three factors: (i) a region with positive lateral pressure caused by the repulsion among the hydrophilic headgroups, (ii) a negative pressure area (surface tension) around the glycerol backbone, which prevents water access to the hydrophobic lipid tails, and (iii) a central area around the middle of the bilayer characterized by positive pressure due to the entropic (steric) repulsion between the lipid tails (left). **b** Transbilayer pressure profiles from MD simulations of a POPE bilayer with *E. coli* MscL inserted in it is shown on the right. The lateral pressure profile is shown at rest without (black) and with (blue) MscL inserted. Note how in the presence of the protein the pressure profile in a symmetrical lipid bilayer has become distinctly asymmetric with asymmetry further increasing when tension is applied (red). Peak a and peak b represent the rise in the pressure profile at the lipid solvent interface (modified from (Cox et al. 2017)). **c** The pressure profile, including surface tension (the area highlighted in blue) representing the stress at the interface of two phases, can be modulated by a number of amphipathic molecules (e.g., chlorpromazine, lysophosphatidylcholine) inserted in one leaflet of the bilayer, which results in activation and opening of MscL. **d** Piezo1 insertion into a bilayer has been proposed to curve the membrane (Guo and MacKinnon 2017).
hydrophobic mismatch between the hydrophobic length of the channel and the bilayer, which may influence MS channel gating (Martinac and Cox 2016). MsCL was shown to be sensitive to bilayer thickness such that thinner bilayers drive its gating (Perozo et al. 2002a; Nomura et al. 2012). Another example illustrating that mechanosensitivity is not dictated solely by the channel structure, which can vary with the physical properties of the lipid bilayer, is gramicidin A (gA). This simple bacterial peptide that forms cation channels in lipid bilayers by the transmembrane association of one monomer from each monolayer was shown to switch between stretch activation and stretch inactivation depending on bilayer thickness (Martinac and Hamill 2002). Reverting the response polarity by subnanometer changes in the bilayer thickness of this model channel is also in qualitative agreement with a recent continuum elastic model showing that the lateral tension of the membrane may either activate or inactivate the channel activity of gA depending on the relation of the hydrophobic thickness of the bilayer and the length of the gA dimer (Kondrashov et al. 2018).

The transbilayer pressure profile, including surface tension, has also been shown to be modulated by a large number of amphiphatic molecules, including conical lipids such as lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC), antipsychotic drug chlorpromazine (CPZ), or various local anesthetics. Their effect on bacterial MS channels has been reported numerous times (Fig. 3c) (Perozo et al. 2002a, b; Nomura et al. 2012; Martinac et al. 1990; Mukherjee et al. 2014; Dimitrova et al. 2016). As indicated by MD simulations, the asymmetric incorporation of amphipaths into lipid bilayers may ultimately distort the membrane and cause local curvatures (wrinkles) (Fig. 2b), which provides an alternative mechanism for activation of MS channels besides the membrane stretch (tension) (Yoo and Cui 2009). However, a global curvature corresponding to a radius of a membrane patch of ~1 μm, visible under light microscopy during patch clamp experiments, is itself of negligible energetic consequence for the activation of an MS channel. In this case, stretching the curved membrane patch activates the channels because the global patch curvature is practically flat from a single channel perspective. Only a curvature corresponding to a radius of <50 nm, which is of comparable size to MS channel dimensions, can cause sufficient changes of several mN/m in the stress distribution along the thickness of the membrane necessary for activation of MS channels (Bavi et al. 2016b). Importantly, such local curvatures are induced by unilateral insertion of amphipaths, which thus cause an increase in asymmetry of the transbilayer pressure profile similar to stretching the bilayer (Fig. 3b). The relevance of membrane curvature in gating of MS channels by FFL is further indicated by membrane-curving properties of the Piezo1 channel based on its 3D structure determined by cryo-EM (Fig. 3d) (Guo and MacKinnon 2017).

A recent study investigating the effect of unilateral insertion of amphipaths into the lipid bilayer uncovered subtleties of the effect amphipaths exert on different types of MS channels (Bavi et al. 2017b). Crucially, the study showed that FFL activation of a particular MS channel was strongly dependent on its structure. Using the surface active agent 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE) as a pharmacological tool, TFE could facilitate the activation of MsCL if added to either leaflet of the bilayer. In contrast, TREK-1 could be activated only if added to the cytoplasmic side, whereas Piezo1 and MscS could be activated only from the extracellular side. Activation curvature of MsCL, upon addition of TFE from either side, seems consistent with its cylindrical shape. MscS and Piezo1, which are both conical, were only activated from the extracellular side, while the activation of TREK-1 by TFE added to the cytoplasmic side was equally consistent with the curved membrane conforming to its non-planar curved shape (Bavi et al. 2017a). In addition, MD simulations revealed that TFE increased the asymmetry of the transbilayer pressure profile markedly, indicating a close relationship between MS channel shapes and their activation mechanism by surface tension perturbations (Bavi et al. 2017b). These findings provide strong evidence that changes in asymmetry of the transbilayer pressure profile are the core mechanical force which gates MS ion channels. Consequently, the FFL principle is founded on tuning the asymmetry of the transbilayer pressure profile to the mechanosensitivity of MS channels according to their shape.

Effect of asymmetric insertion of drugs and amphipaths into lipid bilayers goes far beyond bacterial MS channels and arguably is more physiologically relevant to eukaryotic MS channels. Several studies have already shown the effect of LPC, chlorpromazine (CPZ), Arachidonic acid (AA), and LPA on activity of K2P channels (TREK and TRAAK) and Piezo channels (Syeda et al. 2016; Honoré et al. 2006; Brohawn et al. 2014b; Kloda et al. 2007). However, it is yet to be conclusively shown whether their effect is through their specific interactions with the protein or through perturbation of the transbilayer pressure profile (non-specific interactions).

Interestingly, plasma membranes of living cells are intrinsically asymmetric as the lipid composition of their outer leaflet is largely different from the composition of the inner leaflet. A recent study has clearly shown the importance of such asymmetry, specifically due to (phosphatidylserine) PS lipids being present only in the inner leaflet while the cells are alive. The study shows that existence of PS lipids in the inner leaflet is crucial for normal Piezo-1 function and subsequently for PIEZO1-mediated myotube formation (Tsuchiya et al. 2018). Moreover, Tucker and colleagues have computationally and experimentally shown that TREK-2 is more sensitive to the bilayer tension generated in the inner compared to the outer monolayer (Clausen et al. 2017).
Conclusions

The force-from-lipids principle is a fundamental physicochemical principle based on the large and anisotropic forces defined by the transbilayer pressure profile inherent to biological membranes. MS channels, embedded in the lipid bilayer, are subjected to push and pull forces resulting from the interactions between MS channels and the lipid bilayer. These interactions occur either through hydrophobic mismatch or local bilayer curvature. Collectively, all membrane deformations, including those affecting extracellular matrix and cytoskeleton, that can gate an MS ion channel and modulate its activity are inherently linked to the changes in the transbilayer pressure profile asymmetry. This asymmetry underlies the FFL principle as the unifying paradigm of all mechanotransduction processes originating at the cell membrane.
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