Cerebral Cortex, 2019; 1–14

doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhz005 Original Article

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Disruption of Cortical Dopaminergic Modulation Impairs Preparatory Activity and Delays Licking Initiation

Ke Chen D^{1,2}, Roberto Vincis^{1,3,4} and Alfredo Fontanini^{1,2}

¹Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA, ²Graduate Program in Neuroscience, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA, ³Department of Biological Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA and ⁴Graduate Program in Neuroscience, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA

Address correspondence to Ke Chen and Alfredo Fontanini, Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, Room 545, Life Science Building, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA. Email: ke.chen@stonybrook.edu (K.C.) alfredo.fontanini@stonybrook.edu (A.F.) 🕲 orcid.org/0000-0001-9089-7031

Abstract

Dysfunction of motor cortices is thought to contribute to motor disorders such as Parkinson's disease (PD). However, little is known on the link between cortical dopaminergic loss, abnormalities in motor cortex neural activity and motor deficits. We address the role of dopamine in modulating motor cortical activity by focusing on the anterior lateral motor cortex (ALM) of mice performing a cued-licking task. We first demonstrate licking deficits and concurrent alterations of spiking activity in ALM of head-fixed mice with unilateral depletion of dopaminergic neurons (i.e., mice injected with 6-OHDA into the medial forebrain bundle). Hemilesioned mice displayed delayed licking initiation, shorter duration of licking bouts, and lateral deviation of tongue protrusions. In parallel with these motor deficits, we observed a reduction in the prevalence of cue responsive neurons and altered preparatory activity. Acute and local blockade of D1 receptors in ALM recapitulated some of the key behavioral and neural deficits observed in hemilesioned mice. Altogether, our data show a direct relationship between cortical D1 receptor modulation, cue-evoked, and preparatory activity in ALM, and licking initiation.

Key words: 6-hydroxydopamine, dopamine, licking, motor cortex, Parkinson's disease

Introduction

Dysfunction of motor cortices, which are important for movement planning, initiation and execution, has been suggested to play a role in the motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease (PD) (Lindenbach and Bishop 2013). Studies on motor cortices of human patients and animal models of PD revealed abnormalities in preparatory activity, excitability, excitation/inhibition balance, and oscillatory dynamics (Doudet et al. 1990; Ridding et al. 1995; Goldberg et al. 2002; Escola et al. 2003; Lefaucheur 2005; Pasquereau and Turner 2011; Pasquereau et al. 2016). However, it is unclear whether abnormal patterns of motor cortical activity are secondary to dysfunction of the basal ganglia or whether they result from disruption of local dopaminergic modulation. Midbrain dopaminergic neurons project to the striatum and motor cortex. While dopaminergic innervation to the striatum has been studied extensively for its modulatory role on motor initiation and execution, studies on dopaminergic innervation to the motor cortex have been more limited and focused mostly in its role in synaptic plasticity and motor skill learning (Molina-Luna et al. 2009; Guo et al. 2015). To date, little

[©] The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

is known about the direct link between loss of dopaminergic signaling in the motor cortex, alterations of motor cortical single unit activity, and corresponding motor deficits.

Here, we investigate the role of motor cortex dopaminergic transmission in movement initiation and execution. We focus on the anterior lateral motor cortex (ALM) of head-fixed mice engaged in a cued-licking task. Licking was chosen because it is an innate motor behavior whose cortical control is well studied. In rodents, licking is regulated by a central pattern generator circuit in the brainstem, which is under the control of the motor cortex (Travers et al. 1997). ALM plays an important role in the planning and execution of licking (Komiyama et al. 2010; Guo, Hires et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015; Inagaki et al. 2018), as reflected by the presence of neurons whose firing rates are modulated before the onset of licking (defined as "preparatory" neurons) (Guo, Hires et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2017; Inagaki et al. 2018). In addition, this area appears to be responsible for controlling the direction of tongue movements, as unilateral optogenetic silencing of ALM can introduce a directional bias towards the ipsilateral side (Guo, Hires et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015). Although ALM has been studied for its involvement in controlling normal licking, how lack of dopaminergic signaling impacts activity and function of this region remains unknown.

The experiments described here rely on behavioral training, pharmacology, and electrophysiological recordings to study licking deficits and related abnormalities of ALM neural activity in the context of unilateral dopamine depletion (i.e., unilateral injection of 6-OHDA into the medial forebrain bundle [MFB]). This manipulation has been classically used to model some of the features of PD (Lundblad et al. 2004; Thiele et al. 2012; Jagmag et al. 2015). First, we show that mice with unilateral dopamine depletion display delayed licking initiation, shorter duration of licking bouts, and deviated tongue protrusions compared with control mice. Next, we report changes in cue responses and preparatory activity for neurons in ALM of 6-OHDA lesioned mice. Finally, we perform local pharmacological blockade of dopaminergic receptors to determine the contribution of cortical dopaminergic deficit in ALM to the electrophysiological and behavioral alterations seen in 6-OHDA lesioned mice.

Using licking as a model behavior, our data show motor deficits and abnormalities in neural activity associated with unilateral dopamine depletion. The results demonstrate the importance of cortical dopaminergic modulation for motor initiation and for modulating preparatory activity.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Subjects

The experiments were performed on adult male mice (C57BL/6, 12–20 weeks old, Charles River). Mice were group housed and maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle with ad libitum access to food and water unless otherwise specified. All experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Stony Brook University, and complied with university, state, and federal regulations on the care and use of laboratory animals.

Surgical Procedures for 6-OHDA Injections in the MFB

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (1-1.5%) in oxygen (1 L/min). Once fully anesthetized, mice were placed on a stereotaxic apparatus. The scalp was cut open to expose the skull and

a hole was drilled above the MFB. The following stereotaxic coordinates were used; -1.2 mm anteroposterior and 1.3 mm medial-lateral relative to bregma; -4.75 mm dorsal-ventral relative to the cortical surface. In a first group of mice (referred hereafter as 6-OHDA lesioned), $3.5 \,\mu\text{g}$ 6-OHDA dissolved in $1 \,\mu\text{L}$ 0.02% ascorbic acid (vehicle, prepared from sterile saline) was unilaterally injected into the MFB. A second group of mice (sham-lesioned mice, referred hereafter as control) underwent the same surgical procedure but received $1 \,\mu\text{L}$ vehicle injection into the MFB. To prevent dehydration, mice were monitored daily and subcutaneously injected with $1 \,\text{mL}$ lactated ringer's solution after the surgery as needed. In addition, food pellets soaked in 15% sucrose were placed on the floor of cages to facilitate eating (Francardo et al. 2011).

Behavioral Screening of Lesion: Cylinder Test

Two to three weeks after the MFB lesion surgery, mice were placed into a clear plastic cylinder. Mice could freely explore the cylinder, rearing and touching the cylinder wall with their forepaws. The behavior during the first 3 min in the cylinder was videotaped and analyzed. The number of wall touches with the ipsilateral or contralateral forepaw was counted and used to calculate the forepaw preference. Only lesioned mice with less than 40% usage of contralateral forepaw for touching the cylinder wall were used for further experiments (Lundblad et al. 2004). In total, we screened 13 lesioned mice, 6 mice failed the criteria and were not included in this study.

Surgical Procedures for Implanting Electrodes, Infusion Cannula, and Electrode-Cannula Assemblies

Two to four weeks after the lesion surgery, 6-OHDA lesioned and control mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of ketamine (70 mg/kg) and dexmedetomidine (1 mg/kg) and placed on a stereotaxic apparatus. The scalp was incised to expose the skull. For electrode implantation, 1 mm craniotomies were performed above both anterior lateral motor cortices (ALM; stereotaxic coordinates relative to bregma: anterior-posterior, 2.4 mm; medial-lateral: ± 1.5 mm) and 2 holes were drilled above visual cortex on both hemispheres for inserting ground wires (silver wire). A linear array of 16 electrodes (formvar-insulated nichrome wire, ~1 MΩ, coated diameter: 0.001 inch, catalog no. 761000, A-M System, Sequim, WA) was bilaterally implanted into ALM (dorsal-ventral depth relative to the cortical surface: -0.8 to -1 mm). For infusion cannula implantation, mice that had not undergone any prior surgery (neither 6-OHDA nor vehicle injection in MFB -hereafter defined as naïve mice) were used instead, and a 1 mm craniotomy was performed on the left ALM. A 26-gauge guide cannula with a dummy (0.5 mm projection) was inserted into ALM (dorsal-ventral relative to the cortical surface: -700 µm). To record single units after local D1 receptor blockade, a group of naïve mice was unilaterally implanted in ALM with a custom-built ensemble containing 8 tetrodes (200–300 k Ω , coated diameter: 0.0005 inch, item no. PX000004, Sandvik-Kanthal, Hallstahammar, Sweden) around an infusion guide cannula (26 gauge). Electrodes, cannulae or electrode-cannula assemblies and a head bolt (for the purpose of head restraint) were cemented to the skull with dental acrylic. Mice were allowed to recover from surgery for a week before starting water restriction regimen.

Cued-Licking Paradigm

Following recovery, mice were started on a water restriction regime, with 1.5 mL water daily one week before training. Weight was monitored and maintained at >80% of the standard weight for age, strain, and sex. In the first phase of training, mice were habituated to restraint. During brief restraint sessions, a spout containing a drop of sucrose (200 mM) was moved close to the animal to encourage licking. We choose sucrose as a reward because of its motivating effect. Indeed, even in the case of water restriction, sucrose can motivate mice to perform more trials than water (Guo, Li et al. 2014). Once the mouse started to reliably lick the spout, session duration was increased and training in the cued-licking paradigm began. For each trial, a movable spout containing a drop of sucrose (~3 µL, 200 mM) moved in front of the mouth of the animal 1s after the onset of an auditory cue (200 ms, 2k Hz, 70 dB). The spout remained in place for 2 s to allow the mouse to lick and access the sucrose solution before retracting. The intertrial interval was 10 s. An infrared beam (940 nm, powered by a fiber-coupled LED, Thorlabs, Newton, NJ) was positioned in front of the mouth of the mouse such that each lick (either in the presence or in the absence of the spout) could be detected. Orofacial movements were also recorded with a video camera (30 Hz frame rate) synchronized with the data acquisition software (CinePlex, Plexon, Dallas, TX).

Electrophysiological Recordings in Control and 6-OHDA Lesioned Mice

Multiple single units were recorded via a multichannel acquisition processor (Plexon) in mice performing the cued-licking paradigm. Neural signals were amplified, bandpass (300-8000 Hz) filtered, and digitized at 40k Hz. Single units were isolated by threshold detection and a waveform matching algorithm and were further sorted offline through principal component analysis using Offline Sorter (Plexon). Our electrodes were not movable. As a result, we included only once in our analyses units that were recorded for multiple consecutive sessions from the same electrode, and that had similar waveforms and PSTHs across sessions. The unit/session that was selected for analysis was chosen randomly. These procedures were adopted to avoid duplication of data and for ensuring a more conservative approach. In total, we recorded 175 single units from 9 control mice in 49 sessions; the average yield for this group was 19.4 units per mouse and 3.6 units per session. For 6-OHDA lesioned mice, we recorded 161 single units from 7 mice in 44 sessions. The average yield for this group was 23 units per mouse and 3.7 units per session.

D1/D2 Receptor Antagonist Infusion in ALM

And 20–40 min before a testing session, mice previously trained in the cued-licking paradigm were briefly anesthetized with 1% isoflurane and a 33-gauge inner cannula (0.5 mm projection) was inserted into the guide cannula. A 0.5 μ L of a solution of either the D1 receptor antagonist (5 μ g/ μ L SCH23390 hydrocloride, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), the D2 antagonist (5 μ g/ μ L raclopride tartrate salt, Sigma-Aldrich) or sterile saline (0.9%) was unilaterally infused into ALM at 0.25 μ L/min using a syringe pump (11 plus, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA).

D1 Receptor Antagonist Infusion in ALM and Electrophysiological Recordings

After recovery from the surgery for at least a week, mice were water restricted and trained to perform the cued-licking paradigm. Testing started after 8-12 days of training. 20-40 min before a testing and electrophysiological recording session, mice were head restrained and a 33-gauge inner cannula (0.5 mm projection) was inserted into the guide cannula. A 0.5 µL of solution of either the D1 receptor antagonist (5 μ g/ μ L SCH23390 hydrocloride, Sigma-Aldrich) or sterile saline (0.9%) were infused into ALM at 0.25 µL/min using a syringe pump (11 plus, Harvard Apparatus). Single units were recorded with tetrodes and sorted offline through principal component analysis with offline sorter as described above. Each session of saline infusion was followed, on the day after, by a session with D1 receptor antagonist infusion. Each mouse underwent 1-2 sessions of saline and SCH23390 infusion. In total, we recorded 79 single units from 3 mice in 10 sessions; the average yield for this group was 26.3 neurons per animal and 7.9 neurons per session.

Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using Neuroexplorer (Plexon) and custom written scripts in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA).

Analysis of Licking Behavior

The analog trace from the infrared beam (and its breaking by the tongue) was used for analyzing licking behaviors. A licking event was detected whenever the trace crossed a fixed threshold. A bout was defined as a train of at least 3 consecutive licks with an interlick interval shorter than 500 ms (Davis and Smith 1992). Only licking bouts within 4s after the auditory cue were used for the analysis. A licking bout could last longer than the 2 s window in which the spout was available because the infrared beam could detect licks even in the absence of the spout. In the case of 2 licking bouts occurred in the same trial, only the first licking bout was used for analysis. Video analysis of the oral region was used to extract the angle of tongue protrusions at each lick. Licking angle was defined as the angle between the midline of the protruded tongue and the midline of the mouse chin. As the angle was in the range between $\pm 90^{\circ}$ and was not periodic, a t-test was used to perform the statistical inference. The statistical significance was also confirmed with circular statistics implemented in the CircStat MATLAB toolbox (Berens 2009).

Analysis of Single Units

Single unit spike timestamps were aligned to either the onset of the auditory cue or the licking bout initiation. Perievent rasters of individual units were used to construct peristimulus time histograms (PSTHs, bin size is 100 ms). For analyzing population PSTHs, the firing rate of each neuron was normalized using area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (auROC) method (Cohen et al. 2012; Gardner and Fontanini 2014). This method normalizes firing rate to a value between 0 and 1, in which 0.5 represents baseline firing rate, value >0.5 or <0.5 represents increased or decreased firing rate compared with the baseline, respectively. Population PSTH was calculated by averaging auROC across each unit. Neurons were classified as "cue-responsive" or "preparatory-responsive." Neurons were defined as cue-responsive based on changes in firing rates triggered by the onset of the cue (see below). Preparatoryresponsive neurons were defined based on changes in firing rates occurring in the second preceding the initiation of a licking bout (see below). These definitions are operational and neutral with regard to the type of information encoded by each group. Cue responses may be sensory, arousal-related or associative in nature; preparatory responses may reflect delayed responses to the cue or premotor activity. Our definitions do not exclude a degree of functional overlap between these 2 groups.

Analysis of Cue Response

PSTHs of single units were aligned to the onset of the cue. Activity after onset of the cue was assessed by examining firing rates in a 500 ms window after cue onset. Firing rates within each bin (bin size is 100 ms) in the 500 ms window after cue onset were compared with baseline (1 s before the auditory cue) with a Wilcoxon rank sum test (P < 0.05) and a correction for multiple comparison (Šidák correction).

Analysis of Preparatory Response

PSTHs of single units were aligned to bout initiation. Activity preceding licking (i.e., preparatory activity) was assessed by examining firing rates in a 500 ms window before bout initiation. Firing rates within each bin (bin size is 100 ms) in the 500 ms window before bout initiation were compared with baseline (1s before the auditory cue) with a Wilcoxon rank sum test (P < 0.05) and a correction for multiple comparison (Šidák correction). Units with significantly increased firing rate before bout initiation were defined as "excitatory preparatory" units, where units with significantly decreased firing rate before bout initiation were deemed as "suppressive preparatory." The latency of preparatory activity of each neuron was computed based on "change point" (CP) analysis (Jezzini et al. 2013; Liu and Fontanini 2015; Vincis and Fontanini 2016). To calculate latency of preparatory activity relative to the cue or bout initiation, we aligned spikes to cue onset or bout initiation and computed the cumulative distribution (CDF) of spike occurrence across all trials in the time interval starting 2s before and ending 4s after the cue or bout initiation, respectively. A sudden change of firing rate caused a correspondent change of the slope of CDF and the occurrence of a CP. The timing of the first significant CP was defined as the latency of preparatory activity. For analysis of latency relative the cue onset, neurons without CP (8/307) or neurons with first CP (2/307) occurring later than 3s after the cue were excluded for the analysis. For analysis of latency relative to the licking initiation, neurons without CP (6/307) or neurons with first CP (11/307) occurring after the licking initiation were excluded.

Analysis of the Relationship Between Single Units Firing and Licking PSTHs of single units were calculated by aligning neural activity to each lick (-200 and 200 ms around each lick, bin size = 10 ms) within a licking bout. The PSTH of each neuron was normalized and used to calculate the power spectral density. A neuron was deemed to be rhythmically entrained to the lick cycle if the power spectral density had a peak within the licking frequency (4-8 Hz) and the peak was bigger than 1 (Gutierrez et al. 2006). We varied this empirical threshold, and the conclusion remained consistent. For neurons that were deemed to be lick-entrained, we calculated the spiking probability around licking (from -200 to 200 ms) using a 1 ms bin as described in Amarante et al. (2017). The spiking probability was smoothed with a Gaussian filter with 5 bins (sigma = 2). Our analyses

revealed licking-related, rhythmic activity in ALM. We observed significantly fewer neurons that were rhythmically entrained to the licking cycle in lesioned mice compared with control mice (control: 21.7% [38/175]; lesion: 9.9% [16/161], Pearson's χ^2 test, $\chi^2_{(1)} = 7.7$, P = 0.005). However, for those neurons there were no significant differences in the spiking probability around licking between control and lesioned mice (control vs. lesion: 0.025 \pm 0.002 vs. 0.030 \pm 0.005, $t_{(52)} = 1.19$, P = 0.24), suggesting that the lesion did not affect their entrainment. Since we did not observe any motor deficit related to licking rhythmicity, these analyses were not elaborated further.

Histological Staining for Verification of Lesions and Electrode/Canula Positioning

Mice were deeply anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of ketamine/dexmedetomidine at 2-3 times the anesthetic dose and were intracardially perfused with PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. The brain was further fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight and cryoprotected with 30% sucrose for 3 days. The brain was eventually cut with a cryostat into 50 or $80\,\mu m$ coronal slices. For visualizing electrode and canula tracks, $80\,\mu m$ slices were stained with Hoechst 33342 (1:5000 dilution, H3570, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) using standard techniques. For immunostaining of tyrosine hydroxylase, 50 µm slices were first incubated for 1 h with blocking solution (a mixture of 5% BSA, 5% normal goat serum and 0.02% Triton-X in PBS) and were then incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibody (rabbit antityrosine hydroxylase, 1:1000 dilution, ab112, abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Slices were washed with PBS, incubated for 4 h at 4 °C with secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 594 goat antirabbit IgG, 1:500 dilution, R37117, ThermoFisher), and finally stained with Hoechst 33342.

Results

We unilaterally injected 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) into the MFB of mice to deplete dopaminergic neurons. 6-OHDA causes a unilateral depletion of dopaminergic fibers in the striatum and loss of dopaminergic neurons in ventral tegmental area (VTA) and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) (Fig. 1A,B) (Lundblad et al. 2004; Thiele et al. 2012). The effectiveness of the lesion was assessed by comparing the number of weight bearing wall touches between the ipsilateral and contralateral forelimbs with a cylinder test (Fig. 1C) (Schallert et al. 2000; Lundblad et al. 2002). Lesioned mice show a lower percentage of touches with the contralateral forelimb compared with intact mice (Lundblad et al. 2004). In accordance with the literature (Lundblad et al. 2004, 2005), we screened mice with motor deficits and included them in the study only if they showed less than 40% usage of the contralateral paw compared with control (Fig. 1D). We confirmed the loss of dopaminergic neurons and fibers with histological staining.

Licking Deficits With Dopamine Depletion

To assess for possible deficits in licking behaviors, 6-OHDA lesioned mice (n = 7) and vehicle injected control mice (n = 9) were trained to lick a spout to receive a drop of sucrose 1 s after an anticipatory auditory cue (Fig. 2A). Figure 2B,C shows raster plots of licks from control and 6-OHDA lesioned mice, respectively. We analyzed the latency and duration of licking bouts (Fig. 2D). The latency of bout initiation was significantly longer in lesioned mice compared with controls (1.33 ± 0.03 s vs. 1.06 ±



Figure 1. Confirmation of lesion and motor deficits after unilateral 6-OHDA injections in MFB. (A and B) Representative tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) immuno-fluorescence staining showing dopaminergic fibers in striatum (top panel) and dopaminergic neurons in SNc and VTA (bottom panel) in a control mouse (A) and in a 6-OHDA lesioned mouse (B). Vertical dashed red lines indicate the midline of the brain. (C) A representative snapshot of a unilateral 6-OHDA lesioned mouse performing the cylinder test. (D) Boxplots of percentage of contralateral paw usage during the cylinder test in control (n = 9, blue) and screened 6-OHDA lesioned mice (n = 7, brown).

0.04 s, $t_{(14)} = 4.76$, $P = 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$) (Fig. 2E). The bout duration was shorter in lesioned mice relative to controls (1.05 \pm 0.06 s vs. $1.70 \pm 0.062 \text{ s}, t_{(14)} = -7.24, P = 4.3 \times 10^{-6}$) (Fig. 2F). The interlick interval, however, was not significantly affected (6-OHDA lesion vs. control: 138.8 \pm 4.1 ms vs. 144.8 \pm 4.5 ms, t₍₁₄₎ = -1, P = 0.336). In addition to the timing, we also assessed the direction of tongue movements during licking via analysis of videos of the orofacial region (Fig. 2G). The direction of tongue movements was quantified by calculating the angle between the axis of symmetry of the tongue and the midline of the mouth (see Materials and Methods). A positive angle indicated a directional bias toward the side ipsilateral to the lesion, whereas a negative angle indicated a contralateral bias. 6-OHDA lesioned mice showed a positive licking angle that was significantly different from that observed in control mice $(27.9^{\circ} \pm 5.8^{\circ} \text{ vs.} -0.6^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ},$ Welch's t-test, $t_{(6)} = -4.82$, P = 0.003).

Altogether, these results demonstrate that mice with unilateral dopamine depletion have a longer latency to initiate a lick, a shorter duration of licking bouts, and a directional bias of the tongue toward the side ipsilateral to the lesion.

Changes in Cue Responses and Preparatory Activity in ALM After Dopamine Depletion

Evidence from the literature points at the ALM as the area responsible for modulating licking and controlling licking direction (Komiyama et al. 2010; Guo, Li et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015, 2016; Chen et al. 2017; Inagaki et al. 2018). To assess possible

deficits in neural activity associated with dopamine depletion, we bilaterally recorded single units from ALMs of control (175 single units; n = 9 mice) and 6-OHDA lesioned mice (161 single units; n = 7 mice) engaged in the cued-licking paradigm described above. Units recorded from both hemispheres of control mice were pooled together. Units from 6-OHDA lesioned mice were analyzed separately depending on whether they were recorded on the side ipsilateral or contralateral to the site of the 6-OHDA lesion. We focused on firing rate modulations occurring in the interval from the onset of the cue to the initiation of licking bouts. We aligned neural activity either to the cue or to the bout initiation, and categorized neurons as cue responsive and/or preparatory depending on whether their firing changed shortly after the cue and/or just before licking (see Materials and Methods). Figure 3A shows raster plots and PSTHs for 2 representative cue-responsive neurons from control mice: one excited and one suppressed by the auditory cue. We found that 41.7% of neurons (73 of 175 units) from control mice changed their firing rates within 500 ms from the onset of the cue. Only 14.3% of neurons (12 of 84 units) from the ipsilateral side, and 19.5% of neurons (15 of 77 units) from the contralateral side, of 6-OHDA lesioned mice were cue responsive (Fig. 3B). The differences in the proportion of cue responsive neurons among these 3 groups were significant (Pearson's χ^2 test, $\chi^2_{(2)} = 25.48$, P = 2.6 × 10⁻⁶). Specifically, the proportion of cue-responsive neurons in the ipsilateral and contralateral side in 6-OHDA lesioned mice was similar (Pearson's χ^2 test, $\chi^2_{(1)}$ = 0.449, Bonferroni adjusted P = 1). However, it was significantly reduced from that observed in control mice (Pearson's χ^2 test, control vs. ipsilateral, $\chi^2_{(1)} = 18.14$, Bonferroni adjusted P = 6.2 × 10^{-5} ; control vs. contralateral, $\chi^2_{(1)} = 10.67$, Bonferroni adjusted P = 0.003).

A large fraction of cue responsive neurons was also preparatory (90.4%, 66 of 73 units from control; 88.9%, 24 of 27 units from lesioned mice). However, not all preparatory neurons showed modulation of their activity by the onset of the cue: 52.2% (72 of 138) of the units from control and 78.9% (90 of 114) from lesioned animals did not show modulation by the cue (Fig. 3E,F). This difference indicates that, in a subset of neurons, preparatory activity started longer than 500 ms after the cue, thus closer to licking onset. Figure 3C shows raster plots and PSTHs of 2 representative neurons with preparatory activity recorded in control mice: the activity of one of the neurons is increased and that of the other neurons is suppressed before the initiation of a licking bout. In total, the percentage of neurons showing preparatory activity was 78.9% (138/175) in control, 66.7% (56/84) in the ipsilateral side and 75.3% (58/77) in the contralateral side of 6-OHDA lesioned mice (Fig. 3D). Although the proportion of preparatory responses was not significantly different across groups (Pearson's χ^2 test, $\chi^2_{(2)} = 4.50$, P = 0.105), there were significant differences in the ratio of excitatory and suppressive responses (Pearson's χ^2 test, $\chi^2_{(2)}$ = 16.06, P = 3.2 × 10⁻⁴). Specifically, neurons in the ipsilateral side of 6-OHDA lesioned mice showed a significantly larger proportion of excitatory responses when compared with neurons from control mice (ipsilateral side: 67.9% [38/56] excitatory, 32.1% [18/56] suppressive; control: 38.4% [53/138] excitatory, 62.6% [85/138] suppressive; Pearson's χ^2 test, $\chi^2_{(1)} = 17.72$, Bonferroni adjusted P = 0.001), and from the contralateral side of 6-OHDA lesioned mice (ipsilateral side: see above; contralateral side: 36.2% [21/ 58] excitatory, 63.8% [37/58] suppressive; Pearson's χ^2 test, $\chi^2_{(1)}$ = 10.20, Bonferroni adjusted P = 0.004).

Altogether, these results show that unilateral 6-OHDA lesions produce alterations in the proportion of cue responsive



Figure 2. Licking deficits in 6-OHDA lesioned mice. (A) *Left panel*: sketch showing a head-fixed mouse licking a spout to obtain sucrose. *Right panel*: schematic diagram of the experimental design for each trial. (B and C) Representative raster plots of licking recorded from a control mouse (B) and a unilateral 6-OHDA lesioned (C) mouse performing the cued-licking paradigm. Dashed red vertical lines (time 0) indicate the onset of the auditory cue. Triangle markers represent each individual lick. The gray shaded area highlights the movement of the spout. (D) Representative raster plot of licking demonstrating bout analysis. A licking bout is defined as a train of at least 3 consecutive licks with an interlick interval shorter than 500 ms. Latency of bout initiation is defined as the latency of the first lick of a licking bout after tone onset. Triangle markers represent each individual lick. Magenta triangles highlight the first lick of a licking bout (bout initiation) and black triangles highlight the last lick of a licking bout. (E and F) Average values of latency of bout initiation (E) and duration of licking bouts (F) in control (*n* = 9 mice, blue) and 6-OHDA lesioned (*n* = 7 mice, brown) mice (E and F, t-test, ***P < 0.001). Error bars represent SEM. (G) *Left panel*, a representative snapshot showing a 6-OHDA lesioned mouse extending the tongue protrudes on the right compared with the midline of the chin; *Right panel*: average values of the angles of tongue protrudes on the right compared with the midline of the chin; *Right panel*: average values of the angles of the angle soft tongue protrudes. The soft the control (*n* = 9 mice, blue) and 6-OHDA lesioned (*n* = 7 mice, brown) mice (Welch's corrected t-test, **P < 0.01). Error bars represent SEM.

neurons and changes in the ratio of excitatory and suppressive responses for preparatory activity.

Slower Onset of Preparatory Responses in ALM After Dopamine Depletion

Given the high prevalence of preparatory responses in our experimental conditions, we further analyzed them to extract possible differences in their time course. Since preparatory activity in ALM is important for planning tongue-related movements (Guo, Li et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015; Inagaki et al. 2018), it is reasonable to expect that the slow onset of licking observed with dopamine depletion may relate to changes in the latency of preparatory activity. Figure 4A,B show raster plots and PSTHs of 4 representative neurons with preparatory responses aligned to the onset of the cue: 2 from control mice (Fig. 4A, left: excitatory, right: suppressive) and 2 from ipsilateral side of 6-OHDA

lesioned mice (Fig. 4B, left: excitatory, right: suppressive). Figure 4C, D display the normalized responses (auROC, see Materials and Methods) for all the preparatory neurons recorded from both hemispheres of control and lesioned mice. Visual inspection of the population activity suggests that the onset of preparatory firing may be delayed in 6-OHDA lesioned mice. This suggestion is corroborated by population PSTHs shown in Figure 4E. The latency of preparatory activity was directly quantified using a CP analysis approach (see Materials and Methods). Response latency differed across conditions (Kruskal–Wallis test, $H_{(2)} = 30.68$, P = 2.2 \times 10⁻⁷). While neurons in the ipsilateral and contralateral side of 6-OHDA lesioned mice showed preparatory responses with comparable latencies (0.82 \pm 0.06 s vs. 0.70 \pm 0.05 s, n = 56 and 58, respectively, post hoc Tukey HSD test, P = 0.184); the latency in both groups was longer than in control mice (ipsilateral side vs. control: 0.82 ± 0.06 s vs. 0.46 ± 0.03 s, n = 56 and 131, respectively, post hoc Tukey HSD test, $P = 4.2 \times 10^{-7}$; contralateral side vs.



Figure 3. Cue responses and preparatory activity in ALM. (A) Raster plots and PSTHs of neural activity recorded from 2 representative ALM neurons modulated by the cue within 500 ms from its onset. Dashed red vertical lines (time 0) indicate the onset of the auditory cue. Triangle markers represent each individual lick. Magenta markers represent the onset of each licking bout. Black ticks in raster plots represent individual action potentials. (B) Proportion of cue responsive neurons in control mice (black) as well as ipsilateral (red) and contralateral (blue) sides of 6-OHDA lesioned mice (post hoc pairwise Pearson's χ^2 test for overall proportion of cue responsive neurons with Bonferroni correction, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, n.s. indicates not significant). (C) Raster plots and PSTHs of neural activity recorded from 2 other ALM neurons modulated within 500 ms before licking bout initiation. Dashed magenta vertical lines (time 0) indicate the onset of the bout initiation. Triangle markers represent each individual lick. Red markers represent the onset of the cue. Black ticks in raster plots represent each action potential. (D) Proportion of preparatory responsive neurons (filled: excitatory responses; empty: suppressive responses) in control mice (black) as well as ipsilateral (red) and contralateral (blue) sides of 6-OHDA lesioned mice (post hoc pairwise Pearson's χ^2 test for excitation-suppression ratio of preparatory responses with Bonferroni correction, **P < 0.01, n.s. indicates not significant). (C) Raster plots and PSTHs of neural activity recorded from 2 other ALM neurons modulated within 500 ms before licking bout initiation. Dashed magenta vertical lines (time 0) indicate the onset of the bout initiation. Triangle markers represent each individual lick. Red markers represent the onset of the cue. Black ticks in raster plots represent each action potential. (D) Proportion of preparatory responses (neurons (filled: excitatory responses; empty: suppressive responses) in control mice (black) as wel

control, 0.70 \pm 0.05 s vs. 0.46 \pm 0.03 s, n = 58 and 131, respectively, post hoc Tukey HSD test, P = 0.003) (Fig. 4F,G).

To investigate preparatory activity relative to the onset of movement, we realigned spikes to the initiation of a licking bout (Fig. 5A,B). Visual inspection of population PSTHs suggests a possible difference in the latency of preparatory activity relative to licking initiation (Fig. 5C). Indeed, CP analysis revealed significant differences across conditions (Kruskal-Wallis test, $H_{(2)} = 12.33$, P = 0.002) (Fig. 5D,E). There were no significant differences in the onset of preparatory activity relative to the initiation of licking between neurons in control mice and in the contralateral side of 6-OHDA lesioned mice (–0.73 \pm 0.04 s vs. –0.75 \pm 0.07 s, n = 131 and 56, respectively, post hoc Tukey HSD test, P = 1). However, the onset of preparatory activity in neuron from ipsilateral ALM in 6-OHDA lesioned mice was significantly closer to the initiation of licking when compared with that in control mice (-0.51 \pm 0.06 s vs. -0.73 \pm 0.04 s, n = 54 and 131 respectively, post hoc Tukey HSD test, P = 0.002), and contralateral ALM of 6-OHDA lesioned mice ($-0.51 \pm 0.06 \text{ s vs.}$ -0.75 ± 0.07 s, n = 54 and 56, respectively, post hoc Tukey HSD test, P = 0.01).

Altogether, neural recordings in 6-OHDA lesioned mice show that unilateral dopamine depletion induces changes in cue responsiveness and preparatory activity. There are fewer cue responsive neurons in lesioned animals. While the incidence of preparatory neurons was not affected, 6-OHDA lesions altered the balance between excitation/suppression and delayed the timing of preparatory activity.

D1 but not D2 Receptor Antagonism in ALM Slows Licking Initiation

The results described above demonstrate significant alterations of neural activity in ALM following unilateral 6-OHDA lesions in the MFB. Are these changes epiphenomenal or indicative of a contribution of ALM to the licking deficits observed in 6-OHDA lesioned mice? To determine the link between dopaminergic modulation in ALM and licking deficits, we unilaterally and acutely infused D1 or D2 receptor antagonists into ALM of a new cohort of unlesioned mice (naïve) trained to perform the cued-licking paradigm. Infusion of a D1 receptor antagonist (SCH23390 hydrochloride, 5 µg/µL) significantly increased the latency of bout initiation (1.18 \pm 0.05 s vs. 1.47 \pm 0.03 s, n = 7, paired t-test, $t_{(6)} = -6.64$, P = 5.6 × 10⁻⁴) (Fig. 6A) and reduced the duration of licking bouts $(1.85 \pm 0.09 \text{ s vs. } 1.09 \pm 0.13 \text{ s}, n = 7,$ paired t-test, $t_{(6)} = 9.62$, $p = 7.2 \times 10^{-5}$) when compared with control, saline-infused, mice (Fig. 6B). The licking angle, however, was not significantly affected (SCH23390 vs. saline: 3.6° \pm 0.8° vs. $1.0^{\circ} \pm 1.1^{\circ}$, n = 7, paired t-test, $t_{(6)}$ = 1.61, P = 0.158) (Fig. 6C). Differently, ALM infusion of a D2 antagonist



Figure 4. Timing of preparatory activity relative to the onset of the cue in control and 6-OHDA lesioned mice. (A and B) Raster plots, PSTHs and auROC of neural activity recorded from 4 ALM neurons showing representative excitatory and suppressive preparatory activity recorded from control (A) and 6-OHDA lesioned mice (B). Dashed red vertical lines (time 0) indicate the onset of the auditory cue. Triangle markers represent each individual lick. Magenta markers represent the onset of each licking bout. Black vertical ticks in raster plots represent action potentials. (C and D) Population plots of all ALM neurons recorded from ipsilateral and contralateral sides in control (C) and 6-OHDA lesioned (D) mice. Each row represents a neuron and the color of each square along the x axis represents the normalized (auROC) firing rate within each 100 ms bin. Dashed red vertical lines (time 0) indicate the onset of the auditory cue. (E) Population PSTHs of excitatory and suppressive preparatory responses from control mice (black; data from ipsilateral and contralateral ALM were pulled together), ipsilateral (cell and contralateral (blue) sides of 6-OHDA lesioned mice. (F and G) Cumulative distributions (F) and boxplots (G) for the latency of preparatory neural activity relative to the cue onset in control (black), ipsilateral (red) and contralateral (red) and contralateral (blue) sides of 6-OHDA lesioned mice. (Kruskal–Wallis test, post hoc Tukey HSD test, **P < 0.01, **P < 0.001, n.s. indicates not significant).

(raclopride tartrate salt, $5 \mu g/\mu L$) did not significantly affect the latency of bout initiation (raclopride vs. saline: 1.16 ± 0.05 s vs. 1.15 ± 0.04 s, n = 9, paired t-test, $t_{(8)} = 0.20$, P = 0.85) (Fig. 6D), licking bout duration (raclopride vs. saline: 1.66 ± 0.11 s vs. 1.65 ± 0.08 s, n = 9, paired t-test, $t_{(8)} = 0.09$, P = 0.93) (Fig. 6E) or

licking angle (raclopride vs. saline: $0.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ deg vs.} 0.5 \pm 1.1 \text{ deg}$, n = 9, paired t-test, $t_{(8)} = 0.55$, P = 0.60) (Fig. 6F).

These data demonstrate that acute, unilateral blockade of D1, but not D2, dopaminergic signaling in ALM of naïve mice reproduces the behavioral impairments in licking initiation and



Figure 5. Timing of preparatory activity relative to the onset of a licking bout in control and 6-OHDA lesioned mice. (A and B) Raster plots and PSTHs of the same ALM neurons shown in Fig. 4A,B, but realigned to licking bout initiation. Dashed magenta vertical lines (time 0) indicate the bout initiation, red markers indicate the onset of the auditory cue, triangle markers represent each individual lick. Black ticks in the raster plots represent individual action potential. (C) Population PSTHs of excitatory and suppressive preparatory responses recorded from ALM neurons of control mice (black), ipsilateral (red) and contralateral (blue) sides of 6-OHDA lesioned mice. The dashed magenta vertical line (time 0) indicates the initiation of licking bouts. The shadow area around each curve represents the corresponding SEM. (D and E) Cumulative distributions (D) and boxplots (E) for the latency of preparatory neural activity relative to the bout initiation in control (black), ipsilateral (red) and contralateral (blue) sides of 6-OHDA lesioned mice (Kruskal–Wallis test, post hoc Tukey HSD test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, n.s. indicates not significant).

duration observed in 6-OHDA lesioned mice, but not the ipsilateral bias in licking direction.

Blockade of Dopamine D1 Receptor in ALM Affects Cue Responses and Preparatory Activity

To identify the neural correlates of licking deficits observed after acute, local D1 receptor blockade, we infused SCH23390 (or saline) unilaterally into ALM of mice performing the cuedlicking paradigm, and recorded single unit activity from the same side of the cortex. Unilateral infusion of D1 receptor antagonist significantly reduced the proportion of cue responsive neurons compared with saline infusions (SCH23390:11.4% [5/44]; saline: 34.3% [12/35]; Pearson's χ^2 test, $\chi^2_{(1)}$ = 4.78, P = 0.029) (Fig. 7A). Infusion of SCH23390 did not change the overall prevalence of neurons with preparatory activity (SCH23390:72.7% [32/44], saline: 65.7% [23/35], Pearson's χ^2 test, proportion: $\chi^2_{(1)} =$ 0.182, P = 0.669), nor the relative proportion of excitatory and suppressive response compared with control (SCH23390:56.2% [18/32] excitatory, 43.8% [14/32] suppressive; saline: 47.8% [11/23] excitatory, 52.2% [12/23] suppressive; Pearson's χ^2 test, proportion: $\chi^2_{(1)} = 0.12$, P = 0.731) (Fig. 7B,C). D1 receptors blockade did, however, affect the latency of preparatory activity, as suggested by visual inspection of population PSTHs (Fig. 7C,D and 7G). Quantification of the latency of preparatory activity relative to the cue revealed that D1 receptor antagonist infusion in ALM

delayed its onset compared with control infusions (0.8 ± 0.09 s vs. 0.44 ± 0.06 s, n = 21 and 31, respectively, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, W = 414, P = 0.008) (Fig. 7E,F). To compare the timing of preparatory activity relative to the onset of movement, we realigned spikes to the initiation of a licking bout. SCH23390 moved the onset of preparatory spiking closer to the initiation of licking compared with control (-0.52 ± 0.08 s vs. -0.77 ± 0.10 s, n = 20 and 29, respectively, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, W = 403, P = 0.0496) (Fig. 7H,I).

Altogether, these results show that acute intra-ALM infusion of a D1 receptor antagonist not only reproduces the slower licking initiation, but also recapitulates the reduction of cue responsive neurons and the slower onset of preparatory activity observed in 6-OHDA lesioned mice. Interestingly, neither lateral deviation of the tongue, nor changes in the proportion of excitatory and suppressive responses were observed in animals infused with the antagonist.

Discussion

The results presented here provide behavioral, pharmacological and electrophysiological evidence showing a link between dopaminergic transmission in ALM, dysfunction of ALM neural activity and licking deficits. 6-OHDA lesioned mice trained to perform a cued-licking task showed delayed licking initiation, shorter duration of licking bouts and deviated tongue



Figure 6. Effects of acute, local infusions of D1 and D2 receptor antagonists in ALM on licking. (A–C) Latency of bout initiation (A), duration of licking bouts (B) and licking angle (C) recorded after unilateral infusion in ALM of saline (gray circles) or the D1 receptor antagonist, SCH23390 (red circles) (n = 7, paired t-test, ***P < 0.001, n.s. indicates not significant). (D–F) Latency of bout initiation (D), duration of bouts (E), and licking angle (F) recorded after unilateral infusion in ALM of saline (gray circles) or the D2 antagonist, raclopride (yellow circles) (n = 9, paired t-test, n.s. indicates not significant).

protrusion compared with controls. Single unit recordings revealed that unilateral dopamine depletion affects neural activity in ALM in several ways. First, it reduces the number of neurons activated by an anticipatory cue. Second, it changes the ratio between excitatory and suppressive preparatory activity preceding movement, leading to more excitatory and fewer suppressive modulations in the lesioned hemisphere of 6-OHDA lesioned mice. Finally, unilateral dopamine depletion results in delayed preparatory activity compared with controls. To determine whether disruption of cortical dopaminergic modulation directly caused licking deficits, we locally infused D1 or D2 receptor antagonists in ALM of unlesioned mice. Acutely antagonizing D1 receptors in ALM produced delayed licking initiation and shorter licking bouts. Single unit recordings after intra-ALM D1 blockade demonstrated that the behavioral deficits were associated with a reduction in the prevalence of cue responsive neurons and a delay in preparatory activity. Neither the lateral deviation of the tongue, nor the changes in the proportion of excitatory and suppressive preparatory responses were reproduced by the infusion. It is worth considering that these results depend on pharmacological manipulations. While unlikely (the selectivity of the drugs used is well established (Bourne 2001)), it is possible that potential off-target effects may contribute to some of the deficits. Altogether, our data show a direct relationship between D1 receptor dopaminergic signaling in ALM, cue-evoked and preparatory firing and deficits in licking. More generally, these results suggest that cortical dopaminergic transmission may play a role in the genesis of some of the key symptoms of PD.

Licking Behavior After Dopamine Depletion

Patients with PD suffer from orolingual dysfunction, including tongue tremor and tongue weakness. Previous studies aimed at

understanding how dopamine depletion affects tongue movement showed that unilateral 6-OHDA lesion of the MFB in rats significantly reduced tongue force and slightly increased the duration of pressing time during a tongue pressing test (Ciucci et al. 2011; Nuckolls et al. 2012). However, these experiments relied on a complex task in which rats were trained to press a disk with their tongue, and did not investigate natural licking or its latency of onset. Here, we studied tongue movements in the context of simple cued-licking paradigm. 6-OHDA lesioned mice displayed slower licking initiation, shorter bout duration (i.e., fewer licks per bout) and deviated tongue protrusion. The lesion did not affect inter-licking interval, demonstrating that the speed of each lick was not an issue in our animals. It is worth noting that our experimental paradigm may have underestimated deficits in licking and consummatory behaviors in general. Engaging mice in a licking paradigm with a spout available for longer than 2s and delivering a drop at each lick may have proven more demanding for the motor system and hence may have revealed larger differences between control and lesioned mice compared with those described here. So may have been the case, had we relied on freely moving mice. Natural behavior involves multijoint movement, requiring the coordination of motor cortex and cerebellum (Martin and Ghez 1993; Cooper et al. 2000). Patients with PDs have great difficulty in performing multijoint movement (Seidler RD et al. 2001), and unilateral dopamine depletion with 6-OHDA injection in MFB causes abnormalities in posture and head position in rodents (Henderson JM et al. 2003). In freely moving rodents, consummatory behaviors require the coordination of postural movements, head positioning, mouth movements and licking. We chose a head-restraint preparation to constrain the variables associated with posture and head position and precisely isolate oral movements. We believe that monitoring unrestrained mice performing a licking task would have unveiled even more



Figure 7. Effects of acute, local blockade of D1 receptor on patterns of single neuron activity in ALM. (A and B) Proportion of neurons with cue responses (A) and preparatory responses (B) recorded with infusion of saline (black) and infusion of D1 receptor antagonist SCH23390 (red) in ALM (Pearson's χ^2 test, *P < 0.05, n.s. indicates not significant). (C) Population plot of preparatory activity in ALM recorded from mice with infusion of saline (top) and D1 receptor antagonist SCH23390 (bottom). Each row represents a neuron and the color of each square along the x axis represents the normalized (auROC) firing rate within each 100 ms bin. The dashed red vertical line (time 0) indicates the onset of the auditory cue. D, Population PSTH of preparatory activity after the infusion of saline (black) and SCH23390 (red). The dashed red vertical line (time 0) indicates the onset of the auditory cue. The shadow area around each curve represents the corresponding SEM. (E and F) Cumulative distributions (E) and boxplots (F) for the latency of preparatory activity relative to the cue after the infusion of saline (black) and SCH23390 (red) (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, **P < 0.01). (G) Population PSTH of preparatory activity with the infusion of saline (black) and SCH23390 (red). The dashed red vertical line (time 0) indicates the onset of the auditory cue represents the corresponding SEM. (F and F) Cumulative distributions (F) for the latency of preparatory activity relative to the cue after the infusion of saline (black) and SCH23390 (red) (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, **P < 0.01). (G) Population PSTH of preparatory activity with the infusion of saline (black) and SCH23390 (red). The dashed red vertical line (time 0) indicates the onset of licking bout initiation. The shadow area around each curve represents the corresponding SEM. (H and I) Cumulative distributions (H) and boxplots (I) of the latency of preparatory activity with the infusion of saline (black) and SCH23390 (red). The dashed red vertical line (time 0) indicates th

dramatic changes in consummatory behaviors than those described here. Alas, the difficulty in controlling all the variables in unrestrained, freely licking mice might have made it more difficult to identify the precise licking deficits.

The motor deficits that we observed could reflect an inability to control movement initiation, execution, and termination. It is possible that some of the deficits seen in our task may be secondary to impairments in learning (Wise 2004) due to chronic absence of DA following 6-OHDA lesion. This study was not optimized to investigate differences in acquisition times, and we cannot exclude underlying learning deficits in 6-OHDA lesioned mice. However, the results from acute unilateral infusions of D1 receptor antagonists in ALM emphasize the importance of real-time dopaminergic activity in the cortex in initiating movement.

ALM is known to regulate the direction of movement. Unilateral silencing of ALM activity, either with pharmacological or optogenetic approaches, causes deviation of the tongue on the ipsilateral side (Li et al. 2015). However, our local pharmacological manipulations demonstrate that this effect cannot be produced by acute, unilateral intra-ALM impairments in dopaminergic transmission. Hence, tongue deviation in 6-OHDA lesioned mice may result either from the effects of chronic unilateral disruption of ALM dopaminergic transmission, or by deficits that initiate in other nodes of the corticostriatal loop (Von Voigtlander and Moore 1973). It is well established that the striatum and the nigrostriatal pathway play an important role in movement initiation. Stimulating D1 spiny projection neurons in striatum evokes licking (Sippy et al. 2015) and stimulating dopaminergic fibers in striatum increases probability of motor initiation (da Silva et al. 2018). Our data indicate that the striatum and the nigrostriatal pathway are not the sole structures responsible for licking initiation. Indeed, we show that disruption of dopaminergic modulation in ALM delays licking initiation and preparatory activity. These results highlight that ALM, striatum and their dopaminergic inputs are all involved in licking/motor initiation. Whether these structures act independently of each other or interact in generating a flow of initiation signals remain to be studied.

Altogether, our experiments establish active licking in mice as a model for studying motor deficits in the context of dopamine depletion, and point to the importance of D1 receptor signaling in ALM for mediating initiation and termination of tongue movements.

Motor Cortex and PD

Motor cortical activity is abnormal in PD patients and in animal models of PD (Lindenbach and Bishop 2013). Changes in general excitability, excitation/inhibition balance, and timing have been described in the motor cortex during movement preparation or execution (Escola et al. 2003; Lindenbach and Bishop 2013; Pasquereau et al. 2016). Our results on ALM fit with the existing literature and significantly extend it.

We showed that unilateral 6-OHDA lesion of the MFB impacts activity in the ALM. There was a significant reduction in the proportion of neurons whose firing rates showed modulation by the cue predicting the arrival of the spout. This result is consistent with the hypothesis of hypoactivation of motor cortex in PD and with recordings from MPTP-treated monkeys showing fewer cue responsive neurons in lesioned animals compared with controls (Escola et al. 2003). Although the total number of neurons changing their firing rates just before licking (i.e., preparatory neurons) was not affected by unilateral 6-OHDA lesion, we observed alterations in the ratio of excitatory and suppressive modulations. Changes in excitation and inhibition were described in motor cortices of PD patients using paired-pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation (Lefaucheur 2005; Lindenbach and Bishop 2013). Our comparison of excitatory and suppressive preparatory activity revealed a reduction in the proportion of neurons suppressed and an increase in the proportion of neurons excited prior to movement, a result consistent with the decrease of GABAergic tone observed in PD patient (Ridding et al. 1995). Finally, in addition to the changes described above, we observed deficits in the timing of preparatory activity. Preparatory activity in 6-OHDA lesioned mice had a longer latency from the cue compared with control mice, consistent with the delayed onset of the licking initiation observed after 6-OHDA lesion. Unilateral dopamine depletion affected the timing of preparatory activity also when spiking was aligned to the onset of licking. These changes in timing of neural activity were also observed in primate models of PD and in human PD patients (Doudet et al. 1990; Pasquereau et al. 2016). Specifically, in a reaction time task, PD patients showed a longer latency in initiating movement paralleled by a slower buildup of neuronal activation over the motor cortex (Dick et al. 1989; Mazzoni et al. 2012).

The results from acute D1 receptor blockade experiments provide very important information regarding the relationship

between firing abnormalities in the cortex and licking deficits. They demonstrate that the reduction of cue responsive neurons and the delaying of preparatory activity in ALM can be sufficient to generate changes in motor systems leading to delayed licking. Furthermore, the lack of changes in balance between excitatory and suppressive preparatory activity is evidence that this abnormality has limited causal role with regard to licking timing, and perhaps is more involved in tongue deviation (a symptom not present after local manipulations of ALM).

Altogether, our results show changes in ALM activity consistent with those described in PD patients and validate the study of ALM control of licking as a model for understanding the cortical involvement in PD.

Dopaminergic Modulation of Cortical Activity

It has been shown that dopaminergic innervation of the primary motor cortex and prefrontal cortex comes mainly from the VTA and from the medial portion of the substantia nigra (Luft and Schwarz 2009; Hosp et al. 2011). We speculate that this may be the case for ALM as well. Dopaminergic innervation in motor cortex is known to play an important role in cortical plasticity and motor skill learning (Gaspar et al. 1991; Molina-Luna et al. 2009; Hosp et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2015). Dopamine exerts its function through 5 different receptors which are grouped into D1-like and D2-like receptors (Jaber et al. 1996). While both D1 and D2 receptors in motor cortex are important for modulating cortical plasticity and motor skill learning (Molina-Luna et al. 2009; Guo et al. 2015), here we show that dopaminergic signaling via D1, but not D2, receptors in ALM is required for modulating licking initiation and maintenance. This discrepancy may reflect the multiple functions of dopaminergic modulation in cortex. Our results indicate that acute D1 receptor signaling in ALM plays a role in modulating licking initiation and the timing of preparatory activity. This suggestion is consistent with recent findings showing transient activation of dopaminergic neurons before self-paced movement initiation (Jin and Costa 2010; Howe and Dombeck 2016; da Silva et al. 2018) and with experiments showing that optogenetic manipulation of transient dopaminergic activity can causally affect movement initiation (da Silva et al. 2018). In addition, our results on D1 receptor modulation of licking initiation dovetail nicely with existing literature in primates (Sawaguchi 1995) and with data showing the importance of D1, but not D2, dopaminergic signaling in prefrontal cortex for the temporal control of action (Narayanan et al. 2012; Parker et al. 2014, 2015; Kim et al. 2017).

Our experiments clearly point at ALM D1 receptors as important in licking initiation and in modulating cue responses and preparatory firing in ALM. How activation of D1 receptors contribute to the patterns of activity observed in the ALM of mice performing a cued-licking paradigm remains to be seen and will be the subject of future investigations.

Funding

Thomas Hartman Center for Parkinson's Research at Stony Brook University.

Notes

The authors would like to acknowledge Dr Arianna Maffei, Dr Craig Evinger, Dr Joshua L. Plotkin, past and present members of the Fontanini and Maffei's laboratories for their feedback and insightful comments. This work has been supported by the Thomas Hartman Center for Parkinson's Research at Stony Brook University. Conflict of Interest: None declared.

References

- Amarante LM, Caetano MS, Laubach M. 2017. Medial frontal theta is entrained to rewarded actions. J Neurosci. 37: 10757–10769.
- Berens P. 2009. CircStat: a MATLAB toolbox for circular statistics. J Stat Softw. 31:1–21.
- Bourne JA. 2001. SCH 23390: the first selective dopamine D1-like receptor antagonist. CNS Drug Rev. 7:399–414.
- Chen TW, Li N, Daie K, Svoboda K. 2017. A map of anticipatory activity in mouse motor cortex. Neuron. 94:866–879 e864.
- Ciucci MR, Russell JA, Schaser AJ, Doll EJ, Vinney LM, Connor NP. 2011. Tongue force and timing deficits in a rat model of Parkinson disease. Behav Brain Res. 222:315–320.
- Cohen JY, Haesler S, Vong L, Lowell BB, Uchida N. 2012. Neuron-type-specific signals for reward and punishment in the ventral tegmental area. Nature. 482:85–88.
- Cooper SE, Martin JH, Ghez C. 2000. Effects of inactivation of the anterior interpositus nucleus on the kinematic and dynamic control of multijoint movement. J Neurophysiol. 84: 1988–2000.
- da Silva JA, Tecuapetla F, Paixao V, Costa RM. 2018. Dopamine neuron activity before action initiation gates and invigorates future movements. Nature. 554:244–248.
- Davis JD, Smith GP. 1992. Analysis of the microstructure of the rhythmic tongue movements of rats ingesting maltose and sucrose solutions. Behav Neurosci. 106:217–228.
- Dick JP, Rothwell JC, Day BL, Cantello R, Buruma O, Gioux M, Benecke R, Berardelli A, Thompson PD, Marsden CD. 1989. The Bereitschaftspotential is abnormal in Parkinson's disease. Brain. 112(Pt 1):233–244.
- Doudet DJ, Gross C, Arluison M, Bioulac B. 1990. Modifications of precentral cortex discharge and EMG activity in monkeys with MPTP-induced lesions of DA nigral neurons. Exp Brain Res. 80:177–188.
- Escola L, Michelet T, Macia F, Guehl D, Bioulac B, Burbaud P. 2003. Disruption of information processing in the supplementary motor area of the MPTP-treated monkey: a clue to the pathophysiology of akinesia? Brain. 126:95–114.
- Francardo V, Recchia A, Popovic N, Andersson D, Nissbrandt H, Cenci MA. 2011. Impact of the lesion procedure on the profiles of motor impairment and molecular responsiveness to L-DOPA in the 6-hydroxydopamine mouse model of Parkinson's disease. Neurobiol Dis. 42:327–340.
- Gardner MP, Fontanini A. 2014. Encoding and tracking of outcome-specific expectancy in the gustatory cortex of alert rats. J Neurosci. 34:13000–13017.
- Gaspar P, Duyckaerts C, Alvarez C, Javoy-Agid F, Berger B. 1991. Alterations of dopaminergic and noradrenergic innervations in motor cortex in Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol. 30: 365–374.
- Goldberg JA, Boraud T, Maraton S, Haber SN, Vaadia E, Bergman H. 2002. Enhanced synchrony among primary motor cortex neurons in the 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine primate model of Parkinson's disease. J Neurosci. 22: 4639–4653.
- Guo ZV, Hires SA, Li N, O'Connor DH, Komiyama T, Ophir E, Huber D, Bonardi C, Morandell K, Gutnisky D, et al. 2014. Procedures for behavioral experiments in head-fixed mice. PLoS One. 9:e88678.

- Guo ZV, Li N, Huber D, Ophir E, Gutnisky D, Ting JT, Feng G, Svoboda K. 2014. Flow of cortical activity underlying a tactile decision in mice. Neuron. 81:179–194.
- Guo L, Xiong H, Kim JI, Wu YW, Lalchandani RR, Cui Y, Shu Y, Xu T, Ding JB. 2015. Dynamic rewiring of neural circuits in the motor cortex in mouse models of Parkinson's disease. Nat Neurosci. 18:1299–1309.
- Gutierrez R, Carmena JM, Nicolelis MA, Simon SA. 2006. Orbitofrontal ensemble activity monitors licking and distinguishes among natural rewards. J Neurophysiol. 95:119–133.
- Henderson JM, Watson S, Halliday GM, Heinemann T, Gerlach M. 2003. Relationships between various behavioural abnormalities and nigrostriatal dopamine depletion in the unilateral 6-OHDA-lesioned rat. Behav Brain Res. 139:105–113.
- Hosp JA, Pekanovic A, Rioult-Pedotti MS, Luft AR. 2011. Dopaminergic projections from midbrain to primary motor cortex mediate motor skill learning. J Neurosci. 31: 2481–2487.
- Howe MW, Dombeck DA. 2016. Rapid signalling in distinct dopaminergic axons during locomotion and reward. Nature. 535:505–510.
- Inagaki HK, Inagaki M, Romani S, Svoboda K. 2018. Lowdimensional and monotonic preparatory activity in mouse anterior lateral motor cortex. J Neurosci. 38:4163–4185.
- Jaber M, Robinson SW, Missale C, Caron MG. 1996. Dopamine receptors and brain function. Neuropharmacology. 35: 1503–1519.
- Jagmag SA, Tripathi N, Shukla SD, Maiti S, Khurana S. 2015. Evaluation of models of Parkinson's disease. Front Neurosci. 9:503.
- Jezzini A, Mazzucato L, La Camera G, Fontanini A. 2013. Processing of hedonic and chemosensory features of taste in medial prefrontal and insular networks. J Neurosci. 33: 18966–18978.
- Jin X, Costa RM. 2010. Start/stop signals emerge in nigrostriatal circuits during sequence learning. Nature. 466:457–462.
- Kim YC, Han SW, Alberico SL, Ruggiero RN, De Corte B, Chen KH, Narayanan NS. 2017. Optogenetic stimulation of frontal D1 neurons compensates for impaired temporal control of action in dopamine-depleted Mice. Curr Biol. 27:39–47.
- Komiyama T, Sato TR, O'Connor DH, Zhang YX, Huber D, Hooks BM, Gabitto M, Svoboda K. 2010. Learning-related fine-scale specificity imaged in motor cortex circuits of behaving mice. Nature. 464:1182–1186.
- Lefaucheur JP. 2005. Motor cortex dysfunction revealed by cortical excitability studies in Parkinson's disease: influence of antiparkinsonian treatment and cortical stimulation. Clin Neurophysiol. 116:244–253.
- Li N, Chen TW, Guo ZV, Gerfen CR, Svoboda K. 2015. A motor cortex circuit for motor planning and movement. Nature. 519:51–56.
- Li N, Daie K, Svoboda K, Druckmann S. 2016. Robust neuronal dynamics in premotor cortex during motor planning. Nature. 532:459–464.
- Lindenbach D, Bishop C. 2013. Critical involvement of the motor cortex in the pathophysiology and treatment of Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 37:2737–2750.
- Liu H, Fontanini A. 2015. State dependency of chemosensory coding in the gustatory thalamus (VPMpc) of alert rats. J Neurosci. 35:15479–15491.
- Luft AR, Schwarz S. 2009. Dopaminergic signals in primary motor cortex. Int J Dev Neurosci. 27:415–421.
- Lundblad M, Andersson M, Winkler C, Kirik D, Wierup N, Cenci MA. 2002. Pharmacological validation of behavioural

measures of akinesia and dyskinesia in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. Eur J Neurosci. 15:120–132.

- Lundblad M, Picconi B, Lindgren H, Cenci MA. 2004. A model of L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia in 6-hydroxydopamine lesioned mice: relation to motor and cellular parameters of nigrostriatal function. Neurobiol Dis. 16:110–123.
- Lundblad M, Usiello A, Carta M, Hakansson K, Fisone G, Cenci MA. 2005. Pharmacological validation of a mouse model of l-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. Exp Neurol. 194:66–75.
- Martin JH, Ghez C. 1993. Differential impairments in reaching and grasping produced by local inactivation within the forelimb representation of the motor cortex in the cat. Exp Brain Res. 94:429–443.
- Mazzoni P, Shabbott B, Cortes JC. 2012. Motor control abnormalities in Parkinson's disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2: a009282.
- Molina-Luna K, Pekanovic A, Rohrich S, Hertler B, Schubring-Giese M, Rioult-Pedotti MS, Luft AR. 2009. Dopamine in motor cortex is necessary for skill learning and synaptic plasticity. PLoS One. 4:e7082.
- Narayanan NS, Land BB, Solder JE, Deisseroth K, DiLeone RJ. 2012. Prefrontal D1 dopamine signaling is required for temporal control. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 109: 20726–20731.
- Nuckolls AL, Worley C, Leto C, Zhang H, Morris JK, Stanford JA. 2012. Tongue force and tongue motility are differently affected by unilateral vs bilateral nigrostriatal dopamine depletion in rats. Behav Brain Res. 234:343–348.
- Parker KL, Chen KH, Kingyon JR, Cavanagh JF, Narayanan NS. 2014. D1-dependent 4 Hz oscillations and ramping activity in rodent medial frontal cortex during interval timing. J Neurosci. 34:16774–16783.
- Parker KL, Ruggiero RN, Narayanan NS. 2015. Infusion of D1 dopamine receptor agonist into medial frontal cortex disrupts neural correlates of interval timing. Front Behav Neurosci. 9:294.

- Pasquereau B, DeLong MR, Turner RS. 2016. Primary motor cortex of the parkinsonian monkey: altered encoding of active movement. Brain. 139:127–143.
- Pasquereau B, Turner RS. 2011. Primary motor cortex of the parkinsonian monkey: differential effects on the spontaneous activity of pyramidal tract-type neurons. Cereb Cortex. 21:1362–1378.
- Ridding MC, Inzelberg R, Rothwell JC. 1995. Changes in excitability of motor cortical circuitry in patients with Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol. 37:181–188.
- Sawaguchi T. 1995. The role of dopamine in frontal motor cortical functions of monkeys. In: Kimura M, Graybiel AM, editors. Functions of the cortico-basal ganglia loop. Tokyo: Springer. p. 166–188.
- Schallert T, Fleming SM, Leasure JL, Tillerson JL, Bland ST. 2000. CNS plasticity and assessment of forelimb sensorimotor outcome in unilateral rat models of stroke, cortical ablation, parkinsonism and spinal cord injury. Neuropharmacology. 39:777–787.
- Seidler RD, Alberts JL, Stelmach GE. 2001. Multijoint movement control in Parkinson's disease. Exp Brain Res. 14:335–344.
- Sippy T, Lapray D, Crochet S, Petersen CC. 2015. Cell-typespecific sensorimotor processing in striatal projection neurons during goal-directed behavior. Neuron. 88:298–305.
- Thiele SL, Warre R, Nash JE. 2012. Development of a unilaterally-lesioned 6-OHDA mouse model of Parkinson's disease. J Vis Exp. 60:3234.
- Travers JB, Dinardo LA, Karimnamazi H. 1997. Motor and premotor mechanisms of licking. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 21:631–647.
- Vincis R, Fontanini A. 2016. Associative learning changes crossmodal representations in the gustatory cortex. Elife. 5:e16420.
- Von Voigtlander PF, Moore KE. 1973. Turning behavior of mice with unilateral 6-hydroxydopamine lesions in the striatum: effects of apomorphine, L-DOPA, amanthadine, amphetamine and other psychomotor stimulants. Neuropharmacology. 12:451–462.
- Wise RA. 2004. Dopamine, learning and motivation. Nat Rev Neurosci. 5:483–494.