Multiple mechanisms of early plant community assembly with stochasticity driving the process
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Abstract. Initial plant establishment is one of the most critical phases in ecosystem development, where an early suite of physical (environmental filtering), biological (seed limitation, species interactions) and stochastic factors may affect successional trajectories and rates. While functional traits are commonly used to study processes that influence plant community assembly in late successional communities, few studies have applied them to primary succession. The objective here was to determine the importance of these factors in shaping early plant community assembly on a glacial outwash plain, Skeiðarársandur, in SE Iceland using a trait based approach. We used data on vascular plant assemblages at two different spatial scales (community and neighborhood) sampled in 2005 and 2012, and compiled a dataset on seven functional traits linked to species dispersal abilities, establishment, and persistence for all species within these assemblages. Trait-based null model analyses were used to determine the processes that influenced plant community assembly from the regional species pool into local communities, and to determine if the importance of these processes in community assembly was dependent on local environment or changed with time. On the community scale, for most traits, random processes dominated the assembly from the regional species pool. However, in some communities, there was evidence of non-random assembly in relation to traits linked to species dispersal abilities, persistence, and establishment. On the neighborhood scale, assembly was mostly random. The relative importance of different processes varied spatially and temporally and the variation was linked to local soil conditions. While stochasticity dominated assembly patterns of our early successional communities, there was evidence of both seed limitation and environmental filtering. Our results indicated that as soil conditions improved, environmental constraints on assembly became weaker and the assembly became more dependent on species availability.
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INTRODUCTION

Initial plant establishment in early ecosystem assembly has been identified as one of the most critical phases in ecosystem development (Walker and del Moral 2003). During this first stage, colonization is often limited by unfavorable local environmental conditions (Titus and del Moral 1998, Jones and del Moral 2009), seed availability (Marteinsdóttir et al. 2010, Makoto and Wilson 2016) or lack of facilitating species (Callaway 1995). More recently, the importance of random colonization and thus stochasticity during this phase, has become evident (Marteinsdóttir et al. 2013, Zaplata et al. 2013, Ulrich et al. 2016). As succession advances, abiotic conditions improve (Buma et al. 2017) and species interactions, such as competition, increasingly influence establishment (Chapin et al. 1994). Thus, processes determining plant community assembly will change over succession. The early colonizers are often characterized as species with good dispersal abilities that can establish and persist in harsh environments, while late species are usually good competitors (Connell and Slatyer 1977). As early colonizers may direct subsequent development, knowledge of the processes that determine early colonization is crucial for understanding the nature of plant community assembly (Fukami 2015, Buma et al. 2017) and for managing highly degraded ecosystems and newly created substrates (Walker and del Moral 2009, Walker et al. 2009, del Moral 2010).

Trait-based null model analyses are commonly used to study the processes that influence plant community assembly in late successional communities (e.g. Götzberger et al. 2012). However, only a limited number of studies have been conducted in early successional habitats (Schleicher et al. 2011, Raefel et al. 2012, Ulrich et al. 2014) and they are mostly restricted to small disturbed sites. In these studies, observed community trait assembly is compared to assemblages generated by drawing species at random from the available species pool. If the observed community trait assembly does not differ from the
random draw, species assembly is seen as a neutral process in relation to that trait. If species assembly depends on species traits, then non-neutral processes like environmental filtering, seed limitation, or species interactions are implicated (Gotzenberger et al. 2012). Separation of the non-neutral processes is then determined on the basis of the trait studied, the scale of the assembly and whether there is trait-convergence or divergence. On the community scale, convergence towards species with traits that enhance establishment indicates environmental filtering (Weiher and Keddy 1995), whereas convergence towards good dispersal abilities indicates seed limitation (Mar- teinsdottir and Eriksson 2014). The influence of species interactions on assembly is however mostly detected when the assembly is studied on a small (i.e., neighborhood) scale (Swenson et al. 2006, Mouquet et al. 2012, Perron et al. 2017) even though trait divergence at the community scale is usually interpreted as the effect of species interactions (Gotzenberger et al. 2012, de Bello et al. 2013b). Trait divergence (on all scales) has traditionally been linked to competition, as species with similar traits are expected to have similar resource requirements and thus compete more intensely (i.e., limiting similarity, Lavoie et al. 2007, Violle and Jiang 2009). However, it has also been proposed that facilitation among species can generate the same pattern (Spasojevic and Suding 2012). Trait-convergence at the neighborhood scale for competition traits implies that only species with good competition abilities (e.g., tall stature) will survive (Shipley 1993, Mayfield and Levine 2010, de Bello et al. 2012). Alternatively, it has been suggested that by being similar enough, species may escape the rule of limiting similarity and coexist (Scheffer and van Nes 2006), causing convergence in traits related to establishment and persistence. Integrating trait-based analyses with primary successional studies provides a powerful tool to determine the processes that shape early plant community assembly and how they change with local environment and seral stages (Meiners et al. 2014, Chang and HilleRisLambers 2016).

Here we examined the trait-based plant community assembly from a regional species pool into early primary successional communities. Our aim was to determine how seed limitation, environmental filtering, species interactions and stochasticity shape early plant community assembly. We used data on vascular species presence and abundance on different spatial scales on Skeiðarársandur into early primary communities. We focused on three questions: (1) How are species assembled into local communities from the regional species pool? (2) Is species assembly dependent on local environmental conditions? (3) Does the importance of these processes in community assembly change with time?

In our study, we expect community assembly to be highly influenced by neutral processes as previous studies have indicated that stochastic events are important for plant colonization (Mar-teinsdottir et al. 2010, 2013, Ulrich et al. 2016). More species are however able to colonize sites with ameliorated conditions, thus assembly should be influenced by the local environment. As plant establishment is likely to be very slow under harsh conditions and in areas far from the nearest seed source (Mar-teinsdottir et al. 2013, Makoto and Wilson 2016), we expect only minor changes to occur over the study period.

**Methods**

**Study site**

The study was conducted on Skeiðarársandur (W 17°12; N 63°57), a sandy, flat, and homogeneous glacial outwash plain in front of Skeiðarárjökull, the largest outlet glacier of Vatnajökull icecap, SE-Iceland (Fig. 1). We excluded the west and east marginal areas (west of Gígjukvisl river and east of Skeiðará river path) resulting in a research area of ~400 km². At present, it is mostly at an early successional stage with 75% of the area having <10% vegetation cover, and 15% with >50% cover. The better vegetated areas are moss heathland, with herbs and a few small birch and willow shrubs (Kofler 2004). The climate on Skeiðarársandur is maritime, with cool summers and mild winters. Temperature and precipitation values from 1949 to 2012 were obtained from the nearest weather station Fagurhólsmyri (65°53’ N, 16°39’ W). The mean annual air temperature was 4.9°C (±0.07) and the mean summer (June–August) temperature 9.9°C (±0.07). Mean annual and summer precipitation was 1,814 mm (±33.8) and 130 mm (±5.1), respectively (Icelandic Meteorological Office, unpublished data). The growing season at Skeiðarársandur generally lasts from approximately mid-May to early September (personal observation). For the past ~60 yr, the sandur has been a summer grazing land (from early June until September) for ca 200 ewes plus lambs (Búnaðarsamband Suðurlands, personal communication).

**Vegetation sampling**

In the summers of 2004–2005, 47 permanent plots (25 × 25 m) were laid out on a 2 km grid, in the upper part of Skeiðarársandur, covering an area around 140 km². All plots were in flat areas, spanning a narrow altitudinal gradient (~50–100 m.a.s.l.) and experienced very similar climate. In 2004, 10 plots were laid out and in
2005, an additional 37 plots. In each plot, vascular species presence was recorded and their cover estimated in 20 randomly placed quadrats (50 × 50 cm, a total of 940 quadrats; Fig. 1). A modified Braun—Blanquet scale with eight cover classes (<1%; 1–5%; 5–10%; 10–15%; 15–25%; 25–50%; 50–75%; >75%; van der Maarel 1979) was used for species cover estimation. In addition, all species inside the 25 × 25 m plot were recorded. We did not distinguish between the two sampling years, 2004 and 2005, and refer to those samples as year 2005 hereafter. In 2012 the vegetation sampling was repeated in 45 out of the 47 permanent plots as two plots were inaccessible.

We defined species pools at three different scales; neighborhood, community, and regional (Fig. 1). Neighborhood scale included all species found in any quadrat within a plot (20 quadrats per plot, N = 940) and community scale all species within a 25 × 25 m plot (N = 47). The mean species cover in the 20 quadrats within a plot was used as a measure of community scale species abundance. Species not recorded in the quadrats but present at a plot level, were assigned an arbitrary plot abundance of 0.025%. The regional species pool (N = 1) was determined using two different approaches. First, the observed species pool (ObsPool) is the total of 80 species present in the 47 study plots. Second, the extended species pool (ExtPool) was constructed by adding to the ObsPool other species recorded on Skeiðarársandur, either from other studies (Bóra Ellen Pórhalldóttir, Kristín Svavarðsdóttir, Jamie Ann Martin, and Ólaf Birna Magnúsdóttir, unpublished data) or the national plant registry from the Icelandic Institute of Natural History. Only species that should be able to grow under the environmental conditions in our study plots were included in the ExtPool. The ExtPool does therefore account for the “dark diversity” (sensu Pärtele et al. 2011), i.e., species in the region pool that can grow under the environmental conditions at a site, here Skeiðarársandur, but are missing. We did however take a conservative approach when choosing species from the national plant registry as simulation studies have shown that it is better to underestimate than overestimate the species pool (de Bello et al. 2012). In total, 147 vascular plant species were in the ExtPool (Appendix S1: Table S1).

**Soil sampling**

Soil samples were collected from 45 out of the 47 permanent plots in 2005. Within each plot, 10 soil cores were sampled to a depth of 5 cm. The 10 samples were combined prior to analyses. Soils were dried at ~60°C. Organic carbon and nitrogen were measured using dry combustion (Vario MAX C/N-Macro Elemental Analyzer). Samples were checked for moisture content at the time of analyses and results adjusted accordingly. Soil pH was measured in water (1:5 soil-water ratio) and in 1 mol/L KCl solution.

**Functional traits**

Data on seven traits linked to dispersal abilities, establishment, and persistence were obtained from the
TRY—trait database (Fitter and Peat 1994, Kühn et al. 2004, Kew 2008, Kleyer et al. 2008, Green 2009, Klimesová and de Bello 2009, Kattge et al. 2011), publications (Hempel et al. 2013) and the local flora (Stefánsson 1948, Kristinsson 2010). Trait selection was based on two considerations: (1) the ecosystem processes that they link to and (2) availability of data for the species in our extended species pool. Traits linked to dispersal abilities were seed mass and dispersal syndrome (i.e., wind, water, or animal dispersed or unassisted dispersal). Traits influencing establishment were seed mass, specific leaf area (SLA) and mycorrhizal association. Traits associated with persistence were height, lateral spread and first month of flowering (FMF). For more details on the traits and discussion on their selection, see Appendix S2. If more than one value was cited for a given trait in the data-base, we used the median. For most traits, values from northern Europe and northern North America were used. Trait data availability was high, ranging from 83% (dispersal syndrome) to 100% (mycorrhizal association, height and lateral spread) for species in the regional species pool (Appendix S2: Table S1).

**Statistical analyses**

We explored community assembly at two spatial scales (neighborhood and community) at two times (2005 and 2012). We calculated trait functional diversity (FD) and community trait mean (CM), and applied randomization models to determine the influence of seed limitation, environmental filtering and species interactions on the assembly process.

Various indices are available for estimating community functional diversity (reviewed by Mouchet et al. 2010, Schleuter et al. 2010). Here, we used the mean phylogenetic distance (MPD) to calculate the mean pairwise trait distance separating species in a community. While this index was first developed for phylogenetic distances, it is frequently used for plant traits. It was chosen as it is unaffected by species richness and can take into account species abundance but also works well with presence-absence data. In addition, it can handle any number of traits (Webb et al. 2002, Pavoine and Bonsall 2011, de Bello et al. 2012). Even though the MPD index can include multiple traits, we calculated it for each trait separately as different traits might show different patterns and combining them could give the illusion of neutral assembly, e.g., when one trait shows convergence and another divergence (Grime 2006, Swenson and Enquist 2009). For continuous traits, Euclidean distance was used and Gower’s distance for categorical traits. Prior to analysis, values of categorical traits were transformed to numerical values. For dispersal syndrome, the mean dispersal distance for each syndrome was used. For lateral spread the mean of each group was calculated. FMF values were based on the month of the year and mycorrhizal type was given 0 if the species was marked as non-mycorrhizal and 1 if it can have mycorrhizal associations.

For each plot (community scale) and quadrat (neighborhood scale), 999 random vegetation assemblages were generated by randomly drawing species from the pool into the assemblages, until species richness of the observed plot or quadrat was reached. All species had the same probability of being chosen. On the neighborhood scale, species were drawn from a pool consisting of all species in the local community. On the community scale, analyses were run both with the ObsPool and ExpPool. The outcome of analyses using ObsPool and ExpPool did not differ, so only results using ExpPool are reported here. Results from the ObsPool are in Appendix S3. For each observed and randomized assembly, FD and CM were calculated for the seven traits. We calculated the standardized effect size (SES) for each plot and quadrat by comparing the observed FD (FD\(_{\text{SES}}\)) or CM (CM\(_{\text{SES}}\)) to 999 values generated by randomization (Gotelli and McCabe 2002, Kembel et al. 2010). SES was calculated as:

\[
\text{SES} = \frac{\text{Observed value} - \text{mean (random values)}}{\text{SD (random values)}}
\]

where SD stands for standard deviation. Positive FD\(_{\text{SES}}\) values indicate trait divergence and negative values convergence. Positive CM\(_{\text{SES}}\) suggests higher and negative CM\(_{\text{SES}}\) lower mean trait values than expected by random. The deviation from random was denoted significant if the SES value was higher than 1.96 or lower than \(-1.96\) (ca 95% upper and lower confidence intervals). Before calculations, seed mass and height were log transformed for normalization. Only plots and quadrats with more than one species present having a value for that particular trait were included in the analyses, giving a sample size of 44–47 plots on the community scale and 526–630 quadrats on the neighborhood scale for each trait. Analyses based on species presence and species abundance gave similar outcome so only results based on species presence are presented in the result section of this paper. Results based on species abundance, where the mean nearest trait distance for each species (MPD) and the community mean (CM) was weighted by species abundance can be found in Appendix S3.

Various null-model approaches have been used to study the processes determining plant community assembly. They have at times been criticized for their weak abilities to detect deviation from randomness (Götzenberger et al. 2016, Miller et al. 2017). Thus, to further strengthen our conclusions, we also analyzed the data with two models from the picante package (Kembel et al. 2010) recommended by Götzenberger et al. (2016). For trait-divergence, we used randomization that swaps abundances across all species occurring in the observed species pool and for trait-convergence, we used a model that exchanges species abundances across plots. These models gave similar results as our other randomization tests (Appendix S3).

To explore whether the assembly process was dependent on local community environmental conditions,
linear models were applied with community FD$_{SES}$, CM$_{SES}$ or species richness as the dependent variable, and community soil conditions the independent variable. There was a strong correlation among the measured soil variables (Appendix S4). Therefore, soil carbon was used as an indicator for plot soil conditions. Soil carbon was log transformed before analyses. Environmental patterns and trait filtering were similar in 2005 and 2012 so only data from 2012 are shown. Data from 2005 are reported in Appendix S3. To determine how plant community assembly changed over time, community FD and CM were compared between 2005 and 2012 using a paired t-test or paired Wilcoxon test, if data were non-normally distributed.

RESULTS

Functional trait filtering from the species pool

On the community scale, species were mostly assembled into communities by neutral processes (Fig. 2). Trait convergence for seed mass was observed in 36% of the communities (Fig. 2A) and 11% of communities had higher average seed mass than expected from random assembly (Fig. 2B). Mean FMF was earlier than expected by random in 11% and greater mean lateral spread abilities in 22% of communities (Fig. 2B). On the neighborhood scale, there was little indication of deterministic assembly with most quadrats having neutral assembly for all traits (Table 1).

Spatial patterns

Despite the apparent homogeneity of the sandur, communities varied considerably, both physically and in species richness and cover (Table 2). Trait based community assembly was affected by local soil conditions. With improved soil conditions, FD of communities changed (1) from having near neutral assembly in relation to seed mass, to increasing trait convergence; (2) from trait divergence towards trait convergence for lateral spread; (3) from trait convergence towards trait divergence for height and FMF; and (4) from trait convergence toward neutral assembly for dispersal syndrome (Fig. 3). In addition, for some traits the mean community values were dependent on soil conditions. Community mean seed mass and lateral spread declined towards the expected mean from neutral assembly, the mean FMF shifted toward lower values than expected and dispersal syndrome from lower values towards neutral assembly (Fig. 4).

![Fig. 2](image-url)  
Fig. 2. Standard effect size (SES) of (A) functional diversity (FD$_{SES}$) and (B) community mean (CM$_{SES}$) of seven different traits in 45 communities on Skeiðarársandur in 2012. Dotted lines indicate the 95% confidence limits, values outside those limits deviate significantly from random.
Temporal patterns

In general, the functional trait assembly from the species pool was similar in 2005 and 2012 (Appendix S3). However, there were some clear changes in the community structure. Mean community vascular species richness increased between years, from 16 (±1.5 Standard Error) in 2005 to 21 (±1.8 SE) in 2012. Community FD was lower for mycorrhizae and higher for FMF in 2012 than in 2005. Furthermore, CM for lateral spread decreased and there was a marginally higher proportion of mycorrhizal species over time (Table 3).

Discussion

In general, the functional trait assembly from the species pool was similar in 2005 and 2012 (Appendix S3). However, there were some clear changes in the community structure. Mean community vascular species richness increased between years, from 16 (±1.5 Standard Error) in 2005 to 21 (±1.8 SE) in 2012. Community FD was lower for mycorrhizae and higher for FMF in 2012 than in 2005. Furthermore, CM for lateral spread decreased and there was a marginally higher proportion of mycorrhizal species over time (Table 3).

Community assembly from the regional species pool

Neutral community assembly was by far the most common pattern observed in this study, both on the community and neighborhood scale, indicating that during primary succession on Skeiðarársandur, plant community assembly is highly influenced by stochasticity. Generally, neutral community assembly is considered to be strongest in communities that are dominated by colonization (Zillio and Condit 2007). Deterministic processes are traditionally thought to dominate early successional stages (Connell and Slatyer 1977, del Moral and Bliss 1993, Mori et al. 2013) but the number of studies reporting the dominance of stochasticity in primary succession is increasing (del Moral et al. 1995, Marteinsdóttir et al. 2010, Schleicher et al. 2011). At sites like Skeiðarársandur with low soil nutrient status, limited seed rain and low seedling survival (Marteinsdóttir 2007, Marteinsdóttir et al. 2010), a successful colonization requires a sequence of favorable events: A seed has to disperse to the site and land on a suitable microsite and at a time with favorable conditions for germination and early growth. Thus colonization is highly affected by stochastic factors like weather and rare historical events. As the first colonizers may direct subsequent development (Fukami 2015), these early stochastic events will shape the trajectory of late community assembly.

The power of trait-assembly models to detect deviation from randomness has been questioned (Götzenberger et al. 2016, Miller et al. 2017). To address these concerns we accounted for dark diversity in our regional species pool. We also studied assembly at both the TABLE 1. Number of quadrats in 2012 having trait assembly that deviated significantly from a neutral assembly based on models run on species presence data on Skeiðarársandur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispersal</th>
<th>Seed mass</th>
<th>SLA</th>
<th>Mycorrhizae</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>FMF</th>
<th>Lateral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD random</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD convergence</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD divergence</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM random</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM higher</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM lower</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Random: neutral assembly; FD convergence: trait convergence in functional diversity; FD divergence: trait divergence in functional diversity; CM higher: Higher community mean trait values than expected by random; CM Lower: Lower community mean trait values than expected by random. N = number of quadrats included in the analyses. Dispersal = dispersal syndrome, seed mass = average seed mass, SLA = average specific leaf area, Mycorrhizae = grows with/without mycorrhizae, Height = average height, FMF = First month of flowering and Lateral = average lateral spread.

Table 2. Minimum (min), maximum (max) and median species richness, vegetation cover and soil measurements in the 47 (45 in 2012) study communities on Skeiðarársandur. Vegetation data were collected in 2005 and 2012 and soil data in 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species richness</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation cover</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular plant cover</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>69.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil carbon (%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil nitrogen (%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil C/N</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil pH</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
community scale and the neighborhood scale, allowing us to better detect assembly processes that work on different spatial scales. Although, the importance of both dark diversity (Pärtel et al. 2011, de Bello et al. 2012) and different spatial scales (Perronne et al. 2017) in assembly studies is acknowledged, few studies have included those. In addition, we ran various null model analyses to verify our results. While there were some minor differences in the results of these models, all supported our conclusion that stochasticity dominates the assembly process at our study site.

In addition to neutral assembly, we found more restricted range of seed mass within the local communities than in the regional pool in approximately one-third of the communities and selection for species with greater lateral spread occurred in over 20% of communities. Under the harsh environmental conditions on Skeiðarársandur, where seedling recruitment is low, large seeds or efficient lateral spread, will enhance the probability of establishing and persisting (Turnbull et al. 1999, Cornelissen et al. 2003). However, large seeds may also limit dispersal ability (Turnbull et al. 1999, Leishman 2001), thus the species that establish in the local communities are those with small enough seeds to overcome the seed limitation but large enough to overcome the establishment limitation.

Only few studies have used trait-based community assembly to study primary succession, and all support our results of the importance of stochasticity (Schleicher et al. 2011, Raevel et al. 2012, Ulrich et al. 2014). The influence of deterministic processes in assembly is often emphasized in studies on secondary and late successional communities (e.g., Marteinsdóttir and Eriksson 2014, Zhang et al. 2015), even though neutral processes often play a large role (Götzenberger et al. 2012). This indicates that in these communities, stochasticity is important but to a lesser degree. Some of this stochasticity might be a legacy from the initial steps of assembly in the ecosystem. An improved knowledge of the processes determining those first steps might be the key for understanding better species assembly in late successional communities.

**FIG. 3.** Relationship between standard effect size of community scale functional diversity (FDSES) and log (soil carbon) percentage at Skeiðarársandur (N = 45). Solid lines represent the regression lines from linear models. The dotted line is the 0 line, indicating neutral assembly. Black circles indicate plots with significant trait convergence or divergence. Open circles represent plots that do not differ from neutral assembly. SLA = specific leaf area, FMF = First month of flowering.
Influence of environmental conditions

Trait assembly from the regional species pool into local communities on Skeiðarársandur was correlated with local soil conditions for most traits, supporting the scenario that assembly is, at least partly, driven by local environmental conditions. Species richness also increased with improved soil fertility.

It has been hypothesized that functional diversity of traits increases as establishment becomes less restricted by local environmental conditions (Mouchet et al. 2010, Mason et al. 2012). While studies have found a positive relationship between functional diversity and soil nutrients (Bernhardt-Römermann et al. 2010, Ulrich et al. 2014), other studies have shown decreased functional diversity under more benign conditions (de Bello et al. 2013a) or found these patterns to be trait specific (Spasojevic and Suding 2012). Here, the effect was trait specific, with functional diversity decreasing (for seed mass and lateral spread), increasing (height, dispersal syndrome and FMF) or showing no pattern (SLA, mychorrhizal syndrome) with better soil conditions. In addition, seed mass, FMF and lateral spread were negatively correlated with soil conditions and dispersal syndrome positively.

Nutrient levels on Skeiðarársandur are very poor (Table 2) and seed establishment is rare in the sparsely vegetated part (Marteinsdóttir et al. 2010). As soil conditions improved, environmental constraints on establishment become in general weaker, increasing the establishment success of plants. This enables species with low seed mass to establish and reduces the advantage clonal plants have for persisting. Better conditions will also increase the density of suitable microsites allowing species with contrasting resource requirements to coexist (Chesson 2000, Adler et al. 2013) which can increase the functional diversity for traits linked to species persistence and competition. In addition, with succession the complexity of food webs increases, which may indirectly increase the number of possible dispersal agents (Chang et al. 2014), making FD of dispersal syndrome similar to what is found in random communities.
Changes in species interactions with environmental amelioration (and higher species richness) can also influence assembly. Competitive exclusion can bring either limiting similarity (Lavorel et al. 2007, Viole and Jiang 2009) or trait convergence as only species with good competitive availability can establish (Shipley 1993, Mayfield and Levine 2010, de Bello et al. 2012). Furthermore, increased facilitation will lead to trait divergence (Spasojevic and Suding 2012). As vegetation cover on Skeiðarársandur is mostly low and the communities are still at very early successional stages, it is unlikely that species competition influences the assembly yet. While evidence of species interactions affecting the assembly has been found in early succession elsewhere (Ulrich et al. 2016), competitive exclusion is usually only evident in fertile plots (Schleicher et al. 2011) and in later seral stages (Chapin et al. 1994). However, it cannot be ruled out that facilitation dependent on soil conditions are influencing the patterns observed.

Changes over time

There were only minor changes in the trait-assembly from the regional species pool into local communities between 2005 and 2012. However, species richness did increase significantly over time and community assembly differed between years for some traits, indicating that there were deterministic changes in the assembly process.

In primary succession, communities accumulate species over time (Hodkinson et al. 2003, del Moral and Magnússon 2014, Ulrich et al. 2014) and here average species richness per community increased from 16 to 21 species over 7 yr. Differences in community assembly between years were mostly consistent with changes seen along the soil carbon gradient. We detected an increase in diversity of first month of flowering and a decrease in community mean lateral spread with time, factors that may reflect improvement of environmental conditions during succession (Vilmundardóttir et al. 2015a, b) and accumulation of species over time. Furthermore, trait convergence in mycorrhizal association, with a marginal increase in the proportion of mycorrhizal species occurred. This may reflect an increase in the proportion of mycorrhizal plants and diversity of species with mycorrhizal association over time (Jumpponen et al. 2002, Cázares et al. 2005, Błaalid et al. 2012).

Skeiðarársandur spans a vast area, and the nearest potential seed source is ~10 km from the study area. Primary succession in such isolated areas is generally slow (Marteinsdóttir et al. 2010, Makoto and Wilson 2016). Therefore, we did not expect great changes over the study period. As vegetation cover increases, with associated rise in seed production and improvement of soil conditions, successional rates will accelerate. Warming due to climate change may further speed up this process (Cannone et al. 2008).

CONCLUSION

In contrast to the traditional paradigm of deterministic processes dominating early successional stages, the assembly here was markedly stochastic but influenced by environmental factors and species availability to a spatially variable degree. Emerging spatial vegetation patterns were not simply a function of time since disturbance or substrate age. Thus, despite the apparent homogeneity of Skeiðarársandur, the species assembly is driven by different processes across the outwash plain.

Table 3. Mean (± standard error) of community species richness, community functional diversity (FD) and community trait mean (CM) in 2005 and 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species richness</td>
<td>15.9 ± 1.49</td>
<td>20.7 ± 1.78</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log seed mass (mg)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.06</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log height (cm)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA (mm²/mg)</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.21</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.22</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral spread (cm)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycorrhizae</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.015</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersal syndrome</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.006</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMF</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.007</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log seed mass (mg)</td>
<td>(--) 0.8 ± 0.13</td>
<td>(--) 1.0 ± 0.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log height (cm)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.03</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA (mm²/mg)</td>
<td>20.4 ± 0.40</td>
<td>20.4 ± 0.37</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral spread (cm)</td>
<td>0.117 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.108 ± 0.004</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycorrhizae</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.012</td>
<td>(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersal syndrome</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.53</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.46</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMF</td>
<td>5.90 ± 0.019</td>
<td>5.88 ± 0.016</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: P values show the significance of the differences between 2005 and 2012 data, based on t-test or Wilcoxon test. NS = non significant (P > 0.10), (*) near significant (0.10 > P > 0.05), *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001.
In more ameliorated conditions, environmental filtering was weaker, allowing a higher proportion of incoming seeds to establish and thereby increasing the importance of biological processes, e.g. seed limitation, in the assembly process. Thus, our results indicate that during early succession, multiple mechanisms, both stochastic and deterministic, shape the plant community assembly, with stochasticity being the main driver.
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